**Priority outcome: Population health**  
Small group discussion  
Round 1

Review the prioritization criteria below and:
- Summary Assessment of Older Ohioans; pages 7 - 13

**Priority outcome prioritization criteria**
- **Ability to track progress:** Measurable indicators are available to assess and report progress in a meaningful way on an annual basis at the state level, with consideration for the ability to track progress at the local level.
- **Nature of the problem:** Magnitude, severity, disparities/inequities, U.S. comparison, trends [Data and information from Summary Assessment results]
- **Alignment:** With State Plan on Aging, 2020-2022 State Health Improvement Plan, local priorities, state agency plans and other initiatives
- **Potential for impact:** Availability of evidence-informed strategies, co-benefits, feasibility to address at state and/or local level

**Discussion question:**
1. Considering the prioritization criteria above, particularly **ability to track progress** and **potential for impact**, are there other sub-topics that are not listed that we should consider adding? Are there other potential outcomes that are not listed under a sub-topic that we should consider adding?
2. Given the prioritization criteria above, which of the following sub-topics should be prioritized to include in the SAPA? **No final decisions are being made today.**

**Note:** There will be no more than 1-3 measurable outcomes total for population health.

| Priority outcome: Population health | Potential outcome areas to address within the sub-topic | Bold: Measurable areas included in the **Summary Assessment**  
Non-bold: Additional outcome area examples |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-topics listed below</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Such as lung, trachea and bronchus, pancreas, colon, breast, prostate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic disease</td>
<td>Such as heart disease/heart failure, hypertension, diabetes, obesity, chronic lower respiratory diseases/COPD, cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>Such as depression, suicide, mental distress, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological conditions</td>
<td>Such as Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s, dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance use</td>
<td>Such as unintentional drug overdose deaths, excessive drinking, prescription pain use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Priority outcome: Functional independence
Small group discussion
Round 1

Review the prioritization criteria below and:
• Summary Assessment of Older Ohioans: pages 13 and 14 through figure 3.8

Priority outcome prioritization criteria
• Ability to track progress: Measurable indicators are available to assess and report progress in a meaningful way on an annual basis at the state level, with consideration for the ability to track progress at the local level.
• Nature of the problem: Magnitude, severity, disparities/inequities, U.S. comparison, trends [Data and information from Summary Assessment results]
• Alignment: With State Plan on Aging, 2020-2022 State Health Improvement Plan, local priorities, state agency plans and other initiatives
• Potential for impact: Availability of evidence-informed strategies, co-benefits, feasibility to address at state and/or local level

Discussion question:
2. Considering the prioritization criteria above, particularly ability to track progress and potential for impact, are there other sub-topics that are not listed that we should consider adding? Are there other potential outcomes that are not listed under a sub-topic that we should consider adding?
3. Given the prioritization criteria above, which of the following sub-topics should be prioritized to include in the SAPA?

Note: There will be no more than 1-3 measurable outcomes total for functional independence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority outcome: Functional independence Sub-topics listed below</th>
<th>Potential outcome areas to address within the sub-topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bold: Measurable outcome included in the Summary Assessment</td>
<td>Non-bold: Additional outcome area examples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>Such as functional limitations due to arthritis, chronic pain and related conditions, ability to manage pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>Such as falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent living</td>
<td>Such as activities of daily living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical/sensory ability</td>
<td>Such as disability status, hearing and vision impairments, mobility impairments, oral health</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Priority outcome: Civic and social engagement
Small group discussion
Round 1

Review the prioritization criteria below and:

- Summary Assessment of Older Ohioans: page 14

Priority outcome prioritization criteria

- **Ability to track progress:** Measurable indicators are available to assess and report progress in a meaningful way on an annual basis at the state level, with consideration for the ability to track progress at the local level.
- **Nature of the problem:** Magnitude, severity, disparities/inequities, U.S. comparison, trends [Data and information from Summary Assessment results]
- **Alignment:** With State Plan on Aging, 2020-2022 State Health Improvement Plan, local priorities, state agency plans and other initiatives
- **Potential for impact:** Availability of evidence-informed strategies, co-benefits, feasibility to address at state and/or local level

Discussion question:

1. Considering the prioritization criteria above, particularly **ability to track progress** and **potential for impact**, are there other sub-topics that are not listed that we should consider adding? Are there other potential outcomes that are not listed under a sub-topic that we should consider adding?
2. Given the prioritization criteria above, which of the following sub-topics should be prioritized to include in the SAPA?

**Note:** There will be no more than 1-3 measurable outcomes total for civic and social engagement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority outcome: Civic and social engagement Sub-topics listed below</th>
<th>Potential outcome areas to address within the sub-topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Bold:** Measurable outcome included in the Summary Assessment  
**Non-bold:** Additional outcome area examples |
| Employment | Such as unemployment, labor force participation |
| Social connectedness | Such as social isolation, living alone, leisure activity |
| Volunteerism | Volunteerism |