Metric discussion questions

1. Do you think the current set of metrics align well with the SHA conceptual framework? If not, what needs to be replaced or removed?
2. Do you think the current set of metrics reflect a good balance across the life course within this domain? If not, what’s missing?
3. Are there any metrics you feel should replace another metric within this domain? If so, please “make the case” for the metric using the metric selection criteria below.

Metric selection criteria

State-level: Statewide data is available
U.S.: U.S. data is available for comparison
Reputable: Metric is nationally recognized (not home-grown)
Trend: Trend data is available for at least two years is available
Source integrity and data quality: Data are complete and accurate; response rates and sample sizes are adequate (if survey data)

Preference for metrics with:

Sub-state geography: Data are available at the county-level.
Alignment: Metric aligns across two or more sources (Health Value Dashboard, County Health Rankings, Pop Health Report, State agency metrics)
Benchmark: Benchmark values have been established for the metric by a reputable state or national organization or agency (e.g. Healthy People 2020)
Face value: Metric is easily understood by the public and policymakers

*Metric duplication: Avoid metric duplication. Remove similar metrics, keeping metrics that best meet above criteria.