Regional state health assessment forum

May 5, 2016

Columbus









Attendees

The following sectors were invited to participate:

- Hospitals and other healthcare providers (including Federally Qualified Health Centers and free clinics)
- Local health departments and other public health organizations
- ADAMH boards and mental health and addiction service providers
- Health insurance plans, including Medicaid managed care plans
- Community-based organizations and social services (housing, homeless and domestic violence shelters, faith-based, aging, community development, emergency assistance, food banks, job training, legal aid, etc.)
- Local government (county commissioners, city councils, mayors, etc.)
- Law enforcement/criminal justice
- Transportation and regional planning
- Education and child care (early childhood, K-12, higher education, educational service centers, Head Start)


Attendees

The following sectors were invited to participate:

- Businesses and employers (including Chambers of Commerce and banks)
- Philanthropy/United Ways
- Advocacy groups and community action agencies
- Community residents and healthcare consumer groups
- Family and Children First Councils
- Job and Family Services
- Agriculture, environmental protection and natural resources
- At risk populations, including Commission on Minority Health; immigrant, refugee and migrant worker organizations; organizations that provide culturally-competent or culturally-specific services; people with disabilities; older adults; lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender (LGBT) groups; trauma survivors; and any other groups or organizations that are addressing health disparities or promoting health equity


How is Ohio doing?

		America's	Commonwealth	Gallup-
	HPIO 2014	Health	State	Healthways
	Health Value	Rankings, 2015	Scorecare, 2015	Wellbeing
Ohio's rank	Dashboard	edition	edition	Index, 2014
Overall	47	39	33	47
Health outcomes domains*	40	41	41	40



^{*}Similar to HPIO *Dashboard* Population Health domain: ("Health outcomes" for AHR; "Healthy Lives" for Commonwealth; "Physical" for Gallup)

Ohio's rank in America's Health Rankings from 1990 to 2015



Source for poverty rate: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplements, Historical Poverty Tables — People.





Prepared by the Health Policy Institute of Ohio for the Ohio Governor's Office of Health Transformation, Ohio Department of Health and Ohio Department of Medicaid

Population health infrastructure in Ohio

Governor's Office of Health Transformation Ohio Department of Health Other state agencies
Including ODM, OMHAS, ODA, DODD,
ODJFS, ODVS, etc.

Community-level public and private partners

Hospitals and other healthcare providers Local health departments and other public health organizations ADAMH boards and mental health and addiction service providers

Health insurance plans

Community-based organizations and social services

Local government

Law enforcement/ criminal justice

Transportation and regional planning

Education and child care

Businesses and employers

Philanthropy/United Ways

Advocacy groups and community action agencies

Community residents and healthcare consumer groups

Family and Children First Councils

Job and Family Services

At-risk populations

Agriculture, environmental protection and natural resources

State Health Assessment (SHA) State Health Improvement Plan (SHIP)



Community and regional plans and assessments

Local health departments, Hospitals and other local entities (e.g. Family and Children First Councils, Area Agencies on Aging, Behavioral Health Boards, County Board of Developmental Disabilities, Community Action Agencies, Philanthropy/United Ways)

What is the State Health Assessment?

A comprehensive and actionable picture of health and wellbeing in Ohio

- Informs identification of priorities for the State Health Improvement Plan
- Provides template for state agencies and local partners (uniform set of categories and metrics)

SHA building blocks Starting with what we already have

Assessments from state agencies
(such as ODH Chronic Disease and Maternal and Child Health reports)

Local health
department and
hospital community
health
assessments/plans

Improving
Population Health
Planning in Ohio
report

HPIO Health Value

Dashboard

County Health Rankings

And other sources

What is the State Health Improvement Plan?

An actionable plan to improve health and control healthcare costs

- Provides state agency leaders, local health departments, hospitals and other state and local partners with strategic menu of priorities, objectives and evidence-based strategies
- Signals opportunities for partnership with sectors beyond health

SHIP building blocks Elevating priorities across agencies and sectors

Plans from state agencies and collaboratives

Such as Ohio's Plan to Prevent and Reduce Chronic Disease, Ohio Infant Mortality Reduction Plan, State Plan on Aging, etc.

SHA/SHIP project management and facilitation team



Subcontractors







2016 SHA key components

	March	April	May	June	July
Adopt a conceptual framework and vision for the SHA and SHIP					
Identify secondary data metrics for the SHA					
Key informant interviews (with community-based organizations serving Ohio's most vulnerable populations)					
Five regional community forums (NE, NW, Central, SE, SW)					
Identify health priorities in hospital and local health department planning documents					
Compile, analyze and present secondary data					
Draft SHA and obtain feedback (includes public feedback)					
obtain feedback (includes public					

2016 SHIP key components

	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Health issue prioritization process						
Identify SHIP objectives and strategies						
SHIP implementation and evaluation plan						
Draft SHIP and feedback (includes public feedback)						
Final SHIP						
Agency adoption						

SHA sources of information

Comprehensive

and actionable picture of health

in Ohio

Regional community forums

- √ Five locations around the state
- √ Priorities, strengths, challenges and trends
- ✓Open to all, with outreach to specific groups and sectors

~30 key informant interviews with community-based organizations

- ✓ Explore contributing causes of health inequities and disparities
- √Special focus on groups with poor health
 - outcomes and groups that may otherwise be underrepresented in SHA/ SHIP process


Secondary data

- √Life-course perspective
- ✓ Meaningful data in context
- ✓ Alignment with state and national metrics
- ✓ Demographics
- √ Contributing causes of health inequities, disparities and premature death
- ✓ Analysis and visual display to highlight health disparities
- ✓ Discussion of issues, themes and trends

and wellbeing Updated review of local health department and hospital assessment and planning documents

- √Overall top priorities for local communities
- ✓ Priorities by region and county type (urban, suburban, rural and Appalachian)

Regional SHA forums



Targeted outreach for regional SHA forums

- Hospitals and other healthcare providers

 (including Federally Qualified Health Centers, free clinics, long-term care/nursing facilities)
- Local health departments and other public health organizations
- ADAMH boards and mental health and addiction service providers
- Health insurance plans, including Medicaid managed care plans
- Community-based organizations and social services (housing, homeless and domestic violence shelters, faith-based, aging, community development, emergency assistance, food banks, job training, legal aid, veterans services, centers for independent living, etc.)
- Local government (county commissioners, city councils, mayors, etc.)
- Law enforcement/criminal justice
- Transportation and regional planning
- Education and child care (early childhood, K-12, higher education, educational service centers, Head Start)

- Businesses and employers (including Chambers of Commerce and banks)
- Philanthropy/United Ways
- Advocacy groups and community action agencies
- Community residents and healthcare consumer groups
 Family and Children First Councils
- Job and Family Services
- Agriculture, environmental protection and natural resources
- At risk populations, including Commission on Minority Health regional offices and partners; immigrant, refugee and migrant worker organizations; organizations that provide culturally-competent or culturally-specific services; people with disabilities; older adults; lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender (LGBT) groups; trauma survivors; and any other groups or organizations that address health disparities or promote health equity

SHA will address needs of additional groups through other sources, including secondary data and key informant interviews.

Vision

Ohio is a model of health and economic vitality.

Mission


Improve the health of Ohioans by implementing a strategic set of evidence-based population health activities at the scale needed to measurably improve population health outcomes and achieve health equity.

Values

We value an approach to population health improvement that:


- Addresses prevention, the social determinants of health, all stages of the life course and builds upon evidence-based strategies
- Balances local needs and innovation with statewide alignment and coordination
- Fosters meaningful stakeholder engagement, collaboration across sectors and stronger connections between clinical and community-based organizations
- Promotes a culture of health that builds upon Ohio's strengths and assets
- Results in actionable recommendations and measurable outcomes and more efficient and effective allocation of state and local-level public and private resources

SHA/SHIP conceptual framework: Pathway to health value



World Health Organization definition of health: Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity.


County Health Rankings and Roadmaps Framework




County Health Rankings model ©2012 UWPHI

Triple Aim

Institute for Healthcare Improvement




SHA/SHIP conceptual framework: Pathway to health value



World Health Organization definition of health: Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity.

Guidance and standards



Community themes & strengths Breakout session

- 1. What do you believe are the 2-3 most important characteristics of a healthy community?
- 2. What makes you most proud of our community?
- 3. What are some specific examples of people or groups working together to improve the health and quality of life in our community?
- 4. What do you believe are the 2-3 most important issues that must be addressed to improve the health and quality of life in our community?
- 5. What do you believe is keeping our community from doing what needs to be done to improve health and quality of life?

Forces of change

What are forces of change?

- 1. Forces are a broad all-encompassing category that includes trends, events, and factors.
- 2. Trends are patterns over time, such as migration in and out of a community or a growing disillusionment with government.
- **3. Factors** are discrete elements, such as a community's large ethnic population, an urban setting, or a jurisdiction's proximity to a major waterway.
- **4. Events** are one-time occurrences, such as a hospital closure, a natural disaster, or the passage of new legislation.

Forces of change

What kind of areas or categories are included?

- Social
- 2. Economic
- 3. Political
- 4. Technological
- 5. Environmental
- 6. Scientific
- 7. Legal
- 8. Ethical

Forces of change Breakout session

How to identify forces of change:

- 1. What recent changes or trends are occurring or are on the horizon that may impact the health of our community?
- Of these changes or trends, which are occurring locally? Regionally? Nationally? Globally?
- 3. What characteristics of our region or state may pose an opportunity or threat to our community's health?
- 4. What may occur or has occurred that may pose a barrier to achieving the shared vision?

Health status

- Data Crosswalk completed
- Data availability
 - Primary vs. Secondary data
- County-level data
- SHA/SHIP work may lead to recommendations from state on county and regional data collected:
 - Timeframe
 - Primary vs. Secondary
 - Indicator selection



2016 County Health Rankings data: Central region

health policy institute of ohio
Health outcomes Fremature death. Years of potential life lost before age 75 per 100 co. Overall health, Percentage of actuary (2011-2013) Proposition (oge-activated) (2011-2013)
Health
Health outcomes Fremature death, Years of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 Overall health, Percentage of adults reporting fair or potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 Physical health, Average (2014)
Population death, Years
Population (age-adjusted) (2014) Physical health. Percentage of adults reporting to the first position of the population (age-adjusted) (2014) Physical health. Percentage of adults reporting to the population of the population
Overall health. Percentiage of gotons. (2014) logo-adjusted (2014) logo-
Table of the state
Maternal and in a supervision of the supervision of
Ornweight inlant have 12014) any unhealth 38
Health behaviors Topogo: Topogo Transport
Teath behaviors 10% 15% 18% 17% 14%
Tobacco, Percentage of adults who are current smokers (2014) Food environment, Index of factors that contribute at length of 30 or more (2012) Tobacco, Percentage of adults who are current smokers (2014) 12 3.8 2.8 3.5 4.1 3.9 3.6 3.4 3.7 3.6 4.0 5.5 15% 16% 15% 15% 16% 15% 16% 15% 16% 15% 16% 15% 16% 15% 16% 15% 16% 15% 16% 15% 16% 16% 15% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16
Food environment Incl. 93.6 3.4 8.114 7.899 4.78
Food environment. Index of factors that report a BMI of 30 or more (2012) Physical activity. Fercentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no Drug and alcohol of business for physical activity responsible to the physical activity responsible to the physical activity responsible to the physical activity of the physica
Physical activity, Percentros 15% 16% 13% 16% 13% 16% 13% 16% 13% 16% 16% 13% 16% 13% 16% 15% 16% 13% 16% 15% 16% 13% 15% 16% 13% 15% 16% 15% 16% 13% 15% 16%
Active line physical activity (2012) Active line physical activity (2
access to locations to the following aged 20 and over reports. 7.2 6.9 4 30% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8% 8%
Active living envisionment. Percentage of populations for physical activity (2012) and over reporting no Drug and alcohol abuse — Excessive drinking program (2014) 11. Page and Alcohol abuse — Excessive drinking program (2014) 11. Page and Alcohol abuse — Excessive drinking program (2014) 2014 18% 2014 18% 20% 18% 20% 18% 20% 18% 20% 18% 20% 18% 20% 19% 20% 18% 20% 19% 20% 18% 20% 19% 20% 18% 20% 19% 20% 18% 20% 19% 20% 20% 19% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20
070 Dings - 100 08 200 With Oct - 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1
Secretary Secr
recentage of driving deaths using Percentage of adults 128 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20%
Proposition of the proposition
Sexual and reproductive health. Teen birth rate per 1,000 female 178 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 19
*Bold indicates 9 or more counties were considered and the counties were counties were counties were considered and the counties were considered and the counties were counties were considered and the considered and the counties were considered and the counties were considered and the counties were considered and the considered
29% 17% A200
35 10 10 100
34 189 184 32% 31% 10% 17% 32% 32%
Oblas 7 254 100 32% 3.40 17% 17% 61%
Ohio 12 655 126 655 126 198
Ohio is worse than u.s. Ohio is the transport of the tra
Ohio is the same as U.S. Counties better than Ohio Soundies better than Ohio Counties worse the Ohio Soundies better than Ohio Counties worse the Ohio Soundies worse
Counties warse than Ohio Counties same as U.S. Counties warse than Ohio Counties same as U.S. Counties warse than Ohio Counties same as U.S.
GOWAL COUNTY HOUSE
reactification and the second
Source: County Health Rankings, 2016 Ohio data (downloaded Excel file accessed April 2016)
2018)

Health Outcomes Indicator	Number of Central Counties that are WORSE than Ohio	Number of Central Counties that are BETTER than Ohio	Ohio
Years of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 population (age-adjusted) (2011-2013)	8	6	7,534
Percentage of adults reporting fair or poor health (age-adjusted) (2014)	2	11	17%
Average number of physically unhealthy days reported in past 30 days (age-adjusted) (2014)	1	12	4
Average number of mentally unhealthy days reported in past 30 days (age-adjusted) (2014)	0	14	4.3
Percentage of live births with low birthweight (< 2500 grams) (2007-2013)	0	11	9%

Red- Ohio worse than U.S. Green- Ohio better than U.S. Source: County Health Rankings, 2016 Ohio Data (downloaded Excel file accessed April 2016)

Health Behaviors Indicator	Number of Central Counties that are WORSE than Ohio	Number of Central Counties that are BETTTER than Ohio	Ohio
Percentage of adults who are current smokers (2014)	1	12	21%
Percentage of adults that report a BMI of 30 or more (2012)	9	4	30%
Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best) (2013)	3	11	6.9
Percentage of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical activity (2012)	11	3	26%
Percentage of population with adequate access to locations for physical activity (2010 & 2014)	12	2	83%
Percentage of adults reporting binge or heavy drinking (2014)	1	9	19%
Percentage of driving deaths with alcohol involvement (2010-2014)	3	10	35%
Number of newly diagnosed chlamydia cases per 100,000 population (2013)	2	12	460
Teen birth rate per 1,000 female population, ages 15-19 (2007-2013)	6	7	34

Red- Ohio worse than U.S. Green- Ohio better than U.S.

Source: County Health Rankings, 2016 Ohio Data (downloaded Excel file accessed April 2016)

Clinical Care Indicator	Number of Central Counties that are WORSE than Ohio	Number of Central Counties that are BETTTER than Ohio	Ohio
Percentage of population under age 65 without health insurance (2013)	8	5	13%
Ratio of population to primary care physicians (2013)	12	2	1296:1
Ratio of population to dentists (2014)	12	2	1713:1
Ratio of population to mental health providers (2015)	12	2	642:1
Number of hospital stays for ambulatory-care sensitive conditions per 1,000 Medicare enrollees (2013)	6	8	65
Percentage of diabetic Medicare enrollees ages 65-75 that receive HbA1c monitoring (2013)	3	7	85%
Percentage of female Medicare enrollees ages 67-69 that receive mammography screening (2013)	8	5	60%

Red- Ohio worse than U.S. Green- Ohio better than U.S.

Source: County Health Rankings, 2016 Ohio Data (downloaded Excel file accessed April 2016)

Social and Economic Environment Indicator	Number of Central Counties that are WORSE than Ohio	Number of Central Counties that are BETITER than Ohio	Ohio
Percentage of ninth-grade cohort that graduates in four years (2012-2013)	4	10	83%
Percentage of adults ages 25-44 years with some post-secondary education (2010-2014)	10	4	63%
Percentage of population ages 16 and older unemployed but seeking work (2014)	5	9	5.7
Percentage of children under age 18 in poverty (2014)	4	9	23%
Ratio of household income at the 80th percentile to income at the 20th percentile (2010-2014)	1	13	4.8
Percentage of children that live in a household headed by single parent (2010-2014)	4	9	35%
Number of membership associations per 10,000 population (2013)	7	7	11.4
Number of reported violent crime offenses per 100,000 population (2010-2012)	1	13	307
Number of deaths due to injury per 100,000 population (2009-2013)	3	10	63

Red- Ohio worse than U.S. Green- Ohio better than U.S.

Source: County Health Rankings, 2016 Ohio Data (downloaded Excel file accessed April 2016)

Physical Environment Indicator	Number of Central Counties that are WORSE than Ohio	Number of Central Counties that are BETTTER than Ohio	Ohio vs. U.S.
Average daily density of fine particulate matter in micrograms per cubic meter (PM2.5) (2011)	7	3	13.5
Indicator of the presence of health-related drinking water violations. 1 - indicates the presence of a violation, 0 - indicates no violation (FY 2013-2014)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percentage of households with at least 1 of 4 housing problems: overcrowding, high housing costs, or lack of kitchen or plumbing facilities (2008-2012)	2	10	15%
Percentage of the workforce that drives alone to work (2010-2014)	7	5	84%
Among workers who commute in their car alone, the percentage that commute more than 30 minutes (2010-2014)	10	4	29%

Red-Ohio worse than U.S. Green-Ohio better than U.S. Source: County Health Rankings, 2016 Ohio Data (downloaded Excel file accessed April 2016)

Health priorities

Community health assessment/plan priority categories

Social and economic environment

- Employment, poverty and income
- Education
- Family and social support

Physical environment

- Housing
- Transportation
- Air, water and toxic substances
- Food environment
- Active living environment

Health conditions

- Cardiovascular disease
- Diabetes
- Chronic respiratory disease
- Obesity
- Cancer
- Maternal and infant health
- Oral Health
- Drug and alcohol abuse
- Mental health
- Chronic disease (unspecified)

Health behaviors, violence and injury

- Tobacco
- Physical activity
- Nutrition
- Sexual and reproductive health
- Violence
- Injury


Access

- Coverage and affordabilty
- Access to health care/medical care
- Access to behavioral health care
- Access to dental care

Equity/Disparities

Top 10 priorities identified in community health assessments/plans (preliminary)





N=35 local health department CHA/CHIPs and hospital CHNA/ISs covering 2011-2018 Source: HPIO preliminary review of assessment and planning documents, April 2016



Top priorities, by county type

(preliminary)

1. Mental health 1. Mental health (tie) 1. Mental health	Suburban
 Obesity Access to health care/medical care (tie) Tobacco (tie) Drug and alcohol abuse (tie) Drug and alcohol medical care (tie) Access to health (tie) Access to health care/medical care (tie) 	 Obesity Access to health care/medical care (tie) Tobacco (tie) Drug and alcohol

Selection of regional health priorities

- The purpose of this activity is to begin to narrow down the list of priorities to inform the SHIP.
- The results of this activity will inform development of the SHA and will be used along with other sources of information to help guide decision making during the SHIP process later in 2016.
- Please focus on the highest priorities for your county and region (rather than for the state overall).
- Please consider how the priorities are framed: health conditions vs. behaviors or environments

Selection of regional health priorities: Ranking

- Magnitude of the health problem: Number or percent affected
- Severity of the health problem: Risk of morbidity and mortality associated with the problem
- Magnitude of health disparities and impact on vulnerable populations: Gaps in outcomes between sub-population groups (racial/ethnic, income, age, education-level, Appalachian/rural) where applicable
- Region's performance relative to Ohio and U.S.: Extent to which region is doing much worse than Ohio, U.S. and national benchmarks

Selection of regional health priorities: Ranking

- Rate Health Issues on a scale of 1-10 for each item
- Health issues with a score of 10 for each criterion would indicate:
 - It is of the greatest magnitude
 - It has the most serious consequences
 - It has the greatest magnitude in terms of health disparities and the impact on vulnerable populations
 - The region is performing much worse than Ohio and U.S.

Community gaps & potential strategies

- A gap is an area where the community needs to expand its efforts to reduce a risk, enhance an effort, or address another target for change.
- A strategy is an action the community will take to fill the gap.
- Evidence is information that supports the linkages between a strategy, outcome, and targeted impact area.

Community gaps & potential strategies: Breakout session

- Discuss gaps within your county/region
- Discuss potential strategies that are currently working in your county or other areas of the state or nation
- Discuss strategies that could be implemented at the county level, regional level, and state level

Next steps

- Findings from the regional forums will be posted on the HPIO web page: http://www.healthpolicyohio.org/sha-ship/
- Additional input may be sought through an online survey
- HPIO will seek additional feedback on the draft SHA and SHIP