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Overview

- **Community assessments and the larger CHI process**
  - Focus on assessments: erosion of community trust
  - Federal regulations as a starting point

- **Moving from compliance to transformation**
  - The limits of public policy
  - Coming to grips - the larger purpose of CHI

- **CHI with a quality improvement orientation**
  - Internal alignment
  - Transparency, accountability, and community engagement

- **Moving beyond the usual suspects**
  - Health improvement and community development
Compliance – IRS Final Regulations

- **CHNA cycles** – “...these final regulations require the *solicitation and consideration of input* from persons representing the broad interests of the community *anew with each CHNA*, even if the CHNA builds upon a previously conducted CHNA.”

- **Setting priorities** – “...the final regulations clarify that the requirement to take into account input in assessing the health needs of the community includes *taking into account input in identifying and prioritizing significant health needs*, as well as identifying resources potentially available to address those health needs.”

- **Documentation of input** – “..these final regulations respond to commenters’ requests to require public input on the implementation strategy by requiring a hospital facility to *take into account comments received on the previously adopted implementation strategy* when the hospital facility is conducting the subsequent CHNA.”
IRS Final Regulations, Cont’d.

• **Focus on disparities** – “...a joint CHNA conducted for a larger area *could identify as a significant health need a need that is highly localized* in nature or occurs within only a small portion of that larger area.”

• **Social determinants of health** – “...the final regulations expand the examples of health needs that a hospital facility may consider in its CHNA to include not only the need to address financial and other barriers to care but also the need to prevent illness, to ensure adequate nutrition, or to *address social, behavioral, and environmental factors* that influence health in the community.”

• **Evaluation** – “...the final regulations replace the proposed requirement that the implementation strategy describe a plan to evaluate its impact with a *requirement that the CHNA report include an evaluation of the impact of any actions that were taken* since the hospital facility finished conducting its immediately preceding CHNA.”
CHIDSS Development

• **How**
  – Community is defined
  – Community stakeholders are engaged
  – Priorities are set
  – Implementation strategies are designed

• **Select specific geographic regions to allow for comparative analysis**

• **Sources of data are public reports from**
  – Hospitals
  – Public health agencies
  – United Ways
  – Community Action Agencies
Sample Totals

15 Pilot Sites; each with geo sub-county area with concentration of disparities

10 States representing the 4 US Census Bureau Regional Divisions

51 total hospitals located in target sites

44 total hospital CHNAs and 27 implementation strategies reviewed
Preliminary Totals, continued

- All 44 hospitals defined community as patient service area.
- 16 of 44 (36%) used zip codes to define their service area.
- 23 of 44 (52%) did not provide a specific method to calculate their service area.
- 13 of 44 (30%) defined their community as multi-county/regional service area.
- Only 10 of 44 (23%) hospitals identified the geographic concentration of disparities in their community.
Service Area Exclusion of Geo Areas with Concentrated Poverty
Priority Setting
Collaborative and Internal Hospital Priority Setting

- Internal Hospital Process: 28 (64%)
- Collaborative Priority Setting: 16 (36%)
Priority Setting Criteria
Sufficient Specificity to Inform Decision Making

Criteria lacks specificity
18
45%

Criteria with sufficient specificity
22
55%
Program Metrics by Region

- Large Metro: 12
- Small Metro: 4
- Micro: 6
- Rural: 6

Legend:
- No Metrics Provided
- Population Health
- Process and Service Utilization and SROI
- Process and Service Utilization only
- Service Utilization only
- Process only
Selected Recommendations

• Take steps to **harmonize** disparate, but similar CHI practices among community stakeholders.
  – Encourage LHDs, CAAs, UWs, CHCs, and other institutions to **post assessment findings** on websites.
  – Encourage stakeholders to develop proactive strategies to **align schedules** for assessment and planning processes.

• Increase focus of CHI **resource allocations** in communities where **health disparities are concentrated**.

• Hospitals use tools to implement a **QI approach** consistent with a commitment to **transformation**.

• **Clarify roles of stakeholders** in setting priorities, planning, implementation, evaluation, and oversight of CHI practices.

http://www.phi.org/uploads/application/files/07f7jf5f38j3huio73cnkjjf471lnbswemuyfrokiu7x2f6x1s.pdf
## Opportunities for Alignment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue-Specific Assessments (Health Impact Assessment)</th>
<th>Local Health Departments (CHAs/CHIPs)</th>
<th>Tax-exempt Hospitals (CHNAs/ISs)</th>
<th>Community Health Centers (Section 330 Application)</th>
<th>United Ways (CHAs)</th>
<th>Community Action Agencies (Community Services Block Grant Application)</th>
<th>Financial Institutions (CRA Performance Context Review)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When available, HIAs provide an additional layer of information, most often relating to broader environmental impacts, in the design of strategies to improve health.</td>
<td>Given reduced public funding, ongoing collaboration with diverse stakeholders provides an opportunity to leverage expertise and secure political support for LHD leadership in monitoring and advancement of policies that reinforce and sustain improvements in health status and quality of life.</td>
<td>IRS allows hospitals to develop ISs in collaboration with other hospitals and State and local agencies, such as public health departments. Expanded enrollment and movement towards global budgeting will require work with others who can help address the determinants of health and reduce health disparities.</td>
<td>CHCS are encouraged to link with other providers such as LHDs and hospitals to provide better-coordinated, higher quality, and more cost-effective services.</td>
<td>UWs have an established history of collaborating with other stakeholders in conducting assessments and addressing unmet health needs.</td>
<td>Standard 2.1 emphasizes partnerships across the community, CAAs can often “serve as a backbone organization of community efforts to address poverty and community revitalization: leveraging funds, convening key partners...”</td>
<td>Targeted CRA investments in housing, retail, education, and job creation in targeted low-income census tracts that are aligned with parallel interventions and investments of health care and public health stakeholders provide an opportunity to address social determinants of health and help reduce health care costs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Community Health Improvement:
A Framework to Promote Best Practices in Assessment, Planning and Implementation

**Accountability Mechanisms**
- Accreditation Requirements
- State and Community-based Analyses of CHNA/Implementation Strategy
- Public Reports

**Data and Analytic Support Platform**

**Key Issues to Address to Promote Alignment between Accreditation, NP Hospital CB, and Other Community-Oriented Processes**
- Arranging Assessments that Span Jurisdictions
- Using Small Area Analysis to Identify Communities with Health Disparities
- Collecting and Using Information on Social Determinants of Health
- Collecting Information on Community Assets
- Using Explicit Criteria and Processes to Set Priorities (use of evidence to guide decision-making)
- Assuring Shared Investment and Commitments of Diverse Stakeholders
- Collaborating Across Sectors to Implement Comprehensive Strategies
- Participatory Monitoring and Evaluation of Community Health Improvement Efforts

**T R A N S P A R E N C Y**

**Reports**

§ 501(r) Requirements, Form 990 Schedule H

Community Benefit 26 USC § 501(c)(3), IRS Ruling 69-545

Improved Community Health Outcomes?
Compliance and Transformation

**Compliance**

Co-finance consultant to conduct CHNA
Hold meetings to discuss design
Return to hospital to set priorities

**Transformation**

Ongoing stakeholder engagement to build common vision and shared commitments
Set shared priorities & take coordinated action

**Shared Ownership**

Diverse Community Engagement

Solicit input through surveys, focus groups, town halls on health care needs – no action required
Meet with local or state PH officials

Engage diverse community stakeholders as ongoing partners with shared accountability
Identify shared priorities to improve community health

**Diverse Community Engagement**

Broad Definition of Community

Define community as hospital service area
Identify underserved pops w/in service area
Design programs at service area level

ID concentrations of health inequities w/in larger region that includes hospital service area
Select geo focus where needs are greatest

**Broad Definition of Community**

Maximum Transparency

Post CHNA report on hospital website
Attach Implementation Strategy (IS) to Schedule H submittal or post on website

Post CHNA & shared priorities in multiple settings
Develop and post IS in multiple settings with defined roles for diverse community stakeholders
Compliance and Transformation, cont’d.

Compliance

Innovative & Evidence-Informed Investments
Describe how hospital will address priority unmet needs

Transformation

Survey best practices to ID strategies with evidence of effectiveness or that offer considerable promise
Establish shared metrics that will document ROI at multiple levels

Incorporate Continuous Improvement
Establish indicators of progress (e.g., systems reforms) that validate progress towards outcomes
Establish monitoring strategy that integrates adjustments based upon emerging findings

Pooling and Sharing of Data
Sharing of utilization data across hospitals, PH, CHCs to assess total cost of care
Proactive determination of ROI at institutional and community level
Beyond a “Check the Box” Approach

Quality Improvement
Summative and ongoing formative analyses

Synergy
Explicit alignment of programs, services, and investments

Initial Assessment
ID geo inequities
Drill down
Set baseline
Build shared ownership

Next Round(s)
Deepen analysis
Expand scope/scale
The Limits of Public Policy

How a Bill Becomes Law

1. As Introduced
2. As Amended in Committee
3. As Amended on Second Reading
4. As Enacted
5. As Funded by Joint Budget Committee
6. As Implemented by the State Agency
7. As Reported by the Media
8. As Understood by the Public
9. What was Actually Needed
Taking Advantage of Transparency: Key Questions at the Local/Regional Level

- Does the assessment identify and provide insights into geographic sub-county areas with concentrations of health disparities?

- Are diverse community stakeholders engaged in a manner that acknowledges their shared ownership for improving health in local communities?

- Does the priority setting process reflect a commitment to make optimal use of limited resources through a thoughtful deliberation of options and implications?

- Does the implementation strategy give focus to geographic sub-county areas with concentrations of health disparities?

- Does the implementation strategy reflect an understanding of the need to address both the symptoms and underlying causes of health problems?
Community Benefit and Health Reform

**PAYMENT MODELS**
- Fee for Service
- Episode-Based Reimbursement
- Partial—Full Risk Capitation
- Global Budgeting

**INCENTIVES**
- Conduct
  - Evidence-Based Medicine
  - Expanded Care Management Behavior Change
  - Reduce Obstacles to Change
- Procedures
  - Clinical PFP
  - Risk-adjusted PFP
  - Address Root Causes
- Fill Beds
  - Clinical PFP
- METRICS
  - Net Revenue
  - Improved Clinical Outcomes Reduced Preventable Hospitalizations/ED
  - Reduced Readmits Reduced Disparities
  - Aggregate Improvement in HS and QOL Reduced HC Costs

**Community Service Delivery**
**Community-Based Preventive Services**
**Primary Prevention Community Problem Solving**
Health Reform Progress and Pace

- Some states (e.g., Oregon, Vermont, Massachusetts, Minnesota) are taking definitive steps towards global budgeting.
- Others taking advantage of SIM and CMMI funding to test and scale innovations.
- Some state leaders appear to be working against their own interests, rejecting Medicaid expansion funding, while safety net institutions close and innovation is impeded by fiscal constraints...
- The bottom line is an inexorable move towards financing that incentivizes keeping people healthy and out of hospitals.
- In the meantime, patients will need to be creative...
I can't afford health insurance, but I found a vet who saved me 70% on my vasectomy.
Coming to Terms with Health Inequities

- Unhealthy housing
- Exposure to array of environmental hazards
- Limited access to healthy food sources & basic services
- Unsafe neighborhoods
- Lack of public space, sites for exercise
- Limited public transportation options
- Inflexible and/or poor working conditions
- Health impacts (e.g., allostatic load) of chronic stress
# Population Health

## Medical Model Population Health

- **Assess patient health status**
- **Ensure timely access to clinical services and medications**
- **Clinical case management through team-based care**
- **Patient education**
- **Use EMR to ID and group risk populations, monitor service utilization and patient outcomes**

## Place-Based Population Health

- **Assess patient health status, social and environmental risk factors**
- **Ensure access to clinical services & link to social support systems**
- **Case management through clinical and community-based teams**
- **Community-based education, problem solving, and advocacy**
- **Use EHR and GIS to identify geo conc. of health disparities, target interventions, & monitor population health outcomes**

- **Lament** persistent patient noncompliance

---

Leverage HC resources through strategic engagement of diverse stakeholders
Key Steps for Hospitals

- Organizational commitment to transparency, evidence-informed practice, and quality improvement

- **Integrate** care redesign and community health
  - Timely data analysis and sharing with finance
  - Coordination and expanded intervention design with strategy

- Build **internal** population health capacity
  - Assess competencies, reporting relationships, local oversight, senior leadership accountability

- Build ethic of shared ownership for health with **diverse community stakeholders** (and other health care providers!)
Internal Alignment – ASACB Principles

• Assess staffing population health capacity
  – Competencies, FTE level, job descriptions

• Assess reporting relationships
  – Align priorities of managers and supervisors
  – Expectations of departments
  – Dedicated time for quality improvement
  – Accountability metrics

• Establish formal oversight body
  – Develop formal charter with explicit QI role
  – Competency-based membership
  – Predominantly external membership
Working Anything but 9 to 5
Scheduling Technology Leaves Low-Income Parents With Hours of Chaos
By Jodi Kantor, Photographs by Sam Hodgson
AUGUST 13, 2014
When the external becomes internal: How we internalize our environment

Allostatic Load

- Inadequate Transportation
  - Long Commutes
- Housing
- Lack of social capital

Stress

High Demand-Low Control Jobs

Lack of access to stores, jobs, services

Crime

Source: Anthony Iton, MD, JD, SVP, The California Endowment
Stakeholder Health

• Formed in 2011 as Health Systems Learning Group
  – Over 40 health systems, representing over 400 hospitals
  – Commitment to voluntary leadership

• Imperative - Shared ownership for health w/diverse community stakeholders

• Coordinate with HHS Office of Faith-Based and Neighborhood Partnerships

• Core objectives
  – Proactive care management – reducing preventable utilization
  – Building transformational partnerships
  – Addressing determinants of health


• Website at http://stakeholderhealth.org/
100 Million Healthier Lives Campaign

- Launched by IHI in October 2014
- “Distributive Leadership Model” – IHI as “backbone” with “hub” organizations across country
- Shift from HC-centric focus to broader systems change
- Innovators from organizations & communities to develop
  - Shared learning system to ID and disseminate innovations
  - Social movement and communications strategy
  - Logic model, metrics and evaluation plan for diverse local efforts to connect to a common goal
  - and remove barriers in critical areas such as payment reform, technology, etc.

http://www.ihi.org/Engage/Initiatives/100MillionHealthierLives/Pages/default.aspx
Objectives:

- Build the capacity of local leaders to address the social and economic conditions that shape health;

- Engage communities to increase collective capacity to identify and advocate for community-based strategies to address health disparities;

- Support and inform efforts to establish data-driven strategies and outcomes to measure progress; and

- Establish a national learning community of practice to accelerate applications of successful strategies.
Moving from Science to Practice – The Joint Center PLACE MATTERS Initiative

Place Matters Team Locations

- Martin Luther King, Jr. County, WA
- Alameda County, CA
- San Joaquin Valley Counties, CA
  (Fresno, Kern, Kings, Merced, Madera, Tulare)
- Bernalillo County, NM
- South Delta Counties, MS
  (Sharkey-Issaquena)
- Orleans Parish, LA
- Wayne County, MI
- Cook County, IL
- Cuyahoga County, OH
- Boston, MA
- Baltimore, MD
- Prince George’s County, MD
- Washington, DC
- Marlboro County, SC
- Jefferson County, AL
- Mid-Mississippi Delta Counties, MS
  (Coshocton, Washington, Sunflower)
CRA – Impetus for Financial Institutions

- Passed in 1977; not effectively enforced until early-mid 80s, based on advocacy from groups such as ACORN.

- Purpose is to redress decades of disinvestment in urban inner city communities driven by financial institutional “red-lining”

- Defines any of the following as lawful investments in geo areas that meet CRA criteria:
  - **Equity investment** in a small business venture capital company or community development corporation
  - Investment in **bonds** with a primary purpose consistent with community development
  - **Deposit or membership share** in a community development financial institution (CDFI)

- Estimates of annual investments are in the range of tens of billions per year
Potential Areas of Investment with Health Impacts

- Housing development – renovation (link to health services)
- Healthy food (grocery stores, enhanced corner stores)
- CHC development / expansion in scope of services
- Child care / development
- Small business / job development
National dialogue initiated by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco in support from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.

Released series of essays entitled *Investing in What Works for America*

VISION: Communities where all people live healthy and rewarding lives

MISSION: To catalyze and support collaboration across the health and community development sectors, together working to improve low-income communities and the lives of people living in them.
2014 launch of *Alignment for Health Equity and Development* to support alignment of community health and community development programs and investments.

Generous funding from the Kresge Foundation, with matching support from local stakeholders

Five pilot sites, including:
- Atlanta
- Boston
- Dallas
- Detroit
- Portland

Larger cohort of learning communities across the country to participate in webinars, dialogue.
AHEAD Criteria

Shared Ownership

- Ongoing engagement of diverse community stakeholders beyond CHNA

- Commitment to data sharing, alignment of programs, and shared metrics across institutions and sectors

Strategic Targeting

ID neighborhoods, census tracts, or zip codes with concentrated health, social, and economic inequities as the focus for shared investment

Backbone Infrastructure

Entities with expertise and objectivity to manage the process (e.g., convening, facilitation, monitoring and evaluation, and intersectoral knowledge).
Obesity-Focused Convergence Strategy

Hospital A: Support development of a community garden
Hospital B: Design culturally relevant cooking classes
Hospital C: Sponsor school-based program for healthy eating and active living

Hardware store: Donate garden tools, seeds, etc.
Local restaurant: Provide site for cooking classes
K-12 Schools: Integrate HEAL elements into curriculum

Neighborhood Association: Daily family walk program
United Way: Funding for backbone to manage and monitor
Community Action Agency: Outreach and coordination

PH Agency: Monitor agreed upon metrics
All Partners: Advocate for HEAL public policies
CDC/CDFI: Leverage investments for combined grocery store and food bank
Convergence at the Center

Hospital Community Benefit
- Compliance Orientation
- Annual Reporting Programs and Services
- Process Measures
- Proprietary Bias
- Limit exposure

Intersectoral Place-Based CHI
- Transformational Orientation
- Intersectoral Shared Ownership
- Data Sharing
- Quality Improvement
- Measurable Outcomes
- Sustainability

Community Development
- Transactional Orientation
- Reduce Risks
- Close the Deal
- Build Track Record
- Stimulate Replication
Hospital Advocacy for Shared Ownership

- Hospitals one of the largest employers in communities
- Hospital leaders have unusual access to and influence with public officials
- Hospitals assuming increasing financial risk for poor health
- More than 80% of what improves health is outside of medical care delivery
- Health inequities concentrated in urban and rural communities with high poverty, limited access to healthy food, poor quality housing, dysfunctional schools
- Advocacy is cost-effective – Low cost/high returns
**Doing Good and Doing Well**

**Community Benefit and the Business Model**

**CB 1.0**
- Imperative for program and services alignment with the needs/location of commercially insured populations.
- Proprietary model.
- Random acts of kindness.

**CB 2.0**
- Enhanced focus in DUHN communities.
- Increased emphasis on social determinants.
- Limited relevance to clinical services.
- Lack of financial incentives.
- Collaboration with community stakeholders.

**CB 3.0**
- Evidence-based seamless continuum of care.
- Comprehensive, intersectoral approach to programs.
- Institutional financial incentives aligned.
- One player in a balanced portfolio of investments.
- Collaboration with all stakeholders.
The Case for Investment

• It’s not about bleeding hearts, but making good societal investments
  – Child development or incarceration?
  – Healthy food access/policies or medical bankruptcy and reduced life expectancy?
  – Affordable housing and support services or homelessness and full emergency rooms?
  – Job opportunities and living wage or despair and delinquency?
At the end of the day....