

Detailed policy scorecard

Children, youth and families

Purpose and overview

This detailed policy scorecard provides information about addiction-related policy changes enacted in Ohio from 2013 to 2019. The scorecard:

- Describes the current status of evidence-based policies, programs and practices in Ohio
- Rates the extent to which these policies and programs align with evidence on what works
- Rates the extent to which these policies and programs are reaching Ohioans in need
- Identifies opportunities for improvement

For a summary of the scorecard's key findings and a description of the scorecard methodology, see the full report.

This document contains the following sections:

- Definitions of the detailed scorecard rating levels and a list of acronyms
- Tables that describe Ohio's implementation of evidence-based policies, programs and practices
- Tables that list the sources of evidence used to develop this scorecard

Definition of scorecard levels

	Ohio alignment with evidence	Extent of implementation reach in Ohio
Strong	Services, programs and policies being implemented in Ohio are highly consistent with the most rigorously-evaluated and effective evidence-based approaches in this category.	Services and programs are being implemented throughout the entire state (statewide or > 80 counties), are reaching a majority of intended groups of Ohioans (if known) and are funded at the level needed to implement widespread, effective programming with fidelity to the evidence-based model. Policies are being monitored, implemented and enforced as intended.
Moderate	Services, programs and policies being implemented in Ohio are mostly consistent with recommended evidence-based approaches in this category.	Services and programs are being implemented in at least 40-80 counties, are reaching large numbers of intended groups of Ohioans and/or are funded adequately to meet current capacity and demand. Policies are likely being implemented and enforced as intended, although rigorous monitoring information may not be available.
Mixed	Ohio is implementing some services, programs or policies with "strong" or "moderate" alignment with evidence, but is also implementing significant number of services, programs or policies with "weak" alignment.	Within this category, Ohio is implementing some services or programs with "strong" or "moderate" implementation reach, but is also implementing a significant number of services or programs with "weak" implementation reach. Some policies are being implemented as intended and enforced, while others are not.
Weak	Ohio is implementing services, programs and policies that are not consistent with recommended evidence-based approaches within this category.	Services and programs are being implemented in fewer than 40 counties, are only reaching a small proportion of intended groups of Ohioans and/or funding is inadequate to meet demand. Policies are not being implemented as intended and/or are not being enforced.
Unknown/ More information needed	Adequate information to determine evidence alignment is not currently available.*	Adequate information to determine implementation reach is not currently available.*

^{*}Note that this information may be available within specific counties, but is not available for an overall statewide basis.

1

Acronyms

General Terms

Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders (FASDs; also Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS))

General Assembly (GA)

Help Me Grow (HMG)

House Bill (HB)

Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT)

Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome (NAS)

Ohio Administrative Code (OAC)

Ohio Perinatal Quality Collaborative (OPQC)

Ohio Revised Code (ORC)

Opioid Use Disorder (OUD)

Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA)

Plans of Safe Care (POSC)

Substance Use Disorders (SUDs)

Women, Infants and Children (WIC)

Government agencies, funding and data sources

State/local

Alcohol, Drug Addiction and Mental Health Services (ADAMH)

Child Protective Services (CPS)

Ohio Children's Trust Fund (OCTF)

Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities (DODD)

Ohio Department of Education (ODE)

Ohio Department of Health (ODH)

Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS; also Job and Family Services (JFS))

Ohio Department of Medicaid (ODM)

Ohio Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (OMHAS)

Ohio Department of Youth Services (DYS)

Ohio Family and Children First (OFCF)

Public Children's Service Agency (PCSA)

Federal

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)

National Survey of Substance Abuse Treatment Services (N-SSATS)

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA)

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Table 1. Family-focused prevention

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**	Opportunities for improvement
Home visiting programs to reduce child maltreatment	 Strong evidence alignment There are many different home visiting programs in Ohio. As the largest publicly funded home visiting program, HMG coordinates home visiting services for families with low incomes and/or that meet other specific eligibility criteria. HMG programs are funded largely by Ohio General Revenue Fund appropriations and augmented with federal funding through the Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting (MIECHV) program. Other state entities, such as the OCTF, ODM and Ohio Commission on Minority Health also fund home visiting programs. Many (but not all) families served by publicly funded home visiting programs in Ohio are participating in evidence-based models. Starting in 2017, as a result of SB 332, HMG strengthened its focus on three home visiting models identified as "evidence-based" by the HHS Home Visiting Evidence of Effectiveness (HomVEE) review. In 2019, all 88 counties were covered by at least one home visiting provider contracted to deliver a HomVEE (evidence-based) program. However, only 16.9% of the estimated number of Ohio families in need of home visiting (as calculated by the Health Resources and Services Administration) were served through HomVEE models (including programs funded by ODH, ODM and other sources). On 1/17/2019, Governor Mike DeWine issued Executive Order 2019-07D calling for the formation of an Advisory Committee on Home Visitation. This committee released a report in March 2019 with 20 recommendations and a goal of tripling the number of families served through evidence-based home visiting. In 2019, OAC 5123-10-02 expanded eligibility for Early Intervention in HMG to include infants diagnosed with NAS. In 2020, ODJFS selected two home visiting programs (Healthy Families America (HFA) and Parents as Teachers (PAT)) among its list of approved prevention programs in the Phase 1 Ohio Family First Prevention Services Act (Family First) Plan, to be released in 2020. ODJFS and ODH are cur	 Increase the number of eligible families receiving evidence-based home visiting, with a particular focus on parents with SUDs and communities with elevated levels of risk for negative outcomes such as infant mortality and child maltreatment. Develop a comprehensive referral system to increase referrals to home visiting from CPS, addiction treatment and recovery providers, and other entities. Increase funding for and implement changes to reimbursement models to support home visiting. Increase collaboration between addiction treatment providers and home visiting programs, including greater use of data sharing agreements, improvements to the OCHIDS database and strategic partnerships between ODH and OMHAS. Ensure that ODH, ODJFS and ODM coordinate efforts to implement home visiting programs they fund, such as HFA and PAT.

Table 1. Family-focused prevention (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status (brief description of Ohio i	mplementation)**	Opportunities for improvement
Early childhood education	funded) are the two main publicly-serve Ohio children. In addition, son through the state-funded child care. Head Start provides family well-beir recovery. Some Head Start program conducts weekly visits to children in In FY 2017, 36.6% of eligible children children were served by Early Childle. According to The State of Preschood preschool for 4-year-olds, indicating reaching young children in need of Ohio has a score of 5 out of 10 on the Checklist, indicating room for improdevelopment, maximum class size of Step Up to Quality (SUTQ) is Ohio's finearly care and education program early childhood education and spen must participate in SUTQ and received.	ns offer home-based services where a home visitor their own home. were enrolled in Head Start and 13.9% of eligible mood Education slots. (Source: Groundwork Ohio) 12019, Ohio ranks 33 rd in access to state-funded that Ohio performs worse than many other states in pre-kindergarten education. The State of Preschool 2019 Quality Standards wement in teacher education, professional and staff-child ratio. The start quality rating and improvement system for some state of preschool programs funded by ODE and COJFS. All ecial education preschool programs funded by ODE are a high-quality rating. In 2020, licensed child care DJFS will also be required to participate.	 Increase state and philanthropic funding for pre-k programs so that more eligible children can participate in high-quality programs. Expand the reach of preschool programs with family support services (such as parent education and home visiting) for families with parents/caregivers in SUD recovery. Provide support and incentives to early care and education providers to attain SUTQ ratings.

Table 1. Family-focused prevention (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status (brief description of Ohio	implementation)**	Opportunities for improvement
Parenting education	Strong evidence alignment	Weak implementation reach	Expand the reach of Triple P across
programs to prevent child maltreatment (primary prevention)	neglect prevention regions across prevention council with up to two county commissions and one app The regional prevention council is a abuse and neglect prevention place. The OCTF provides funding to each support programs and services in the abuse and child neglect. The OCTF funds Triple P (Positive Parin SFY 2019. ODH's Violence and Injury Prevent to implement Safe Environment for for pediatric care providers. As of A counties.	charged with creating and implementing a child	the state.
Family interventions	Weak evidence alignment	Weak implementation reach	Explore evidence-based family
to deter youth drug/ alcohol use	State agencies were not aware of being implemented in Ohio.	any of the family interventions listed in table 7	interventions to deter youth drug/ alcohol use for implementation in Ohio.

^{*}As identified in the HPIO Evidence Resource Page: Children, Youth and Families

**As of Aug. 2020, as identified in the Ohio policy inventory in this report and information from state agencies. Note that the inventory includes policy changes enacted in 2013-2019. Some policies outside that time frame are included when highly relevant.

Table 2. Child protective services and the foster care system

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**	Opportunities for improvement
Programs and services to prevent out-of-home placements for families at risk (secondary prevention)	 Strong evidence alignment Family First gives states the option to use federal Title IV-E funds for prevention services for eligible children at risk of foster care placement and their families. Federal reimbursement will be available for not more than 12 months for mental health and substance abuse prevention and treatment services and in-home parent skill-based programs that meet certain criteria for being evidence based and are listed in the Title IV-E Prevention Services Clearinghouse. Federal reimbursement prioritizes services and programs rated by the Clearinghouse as "well-supported." ODJFS is responsible for leading Ohio's Family First implementation plan, including an April 2020 Implementation Roadmap and the 2020 Prevention Plan, to be released in 2020. For Phase 1 of the Prevention Plan, Ohio has selected four well-supported programs/services: Parents as Teachers (PAT), Healthy families America (HFA), Multi-Systemic Therapy (MST) and Functional Family Therapy (FFT). In addition, Ohio has selected Ohio START, which is currently being reviewed by the Clearinghouse. As of 2019, reach of PAT and HFA was limited; an estimated 9% of Ohio families in need of home visiting were served by HFA and 1% were served by PAT. Ohio START is available in 46 counties as of July 2020. As of 2020, Multi-Systemic Therapy (MST) is offered in 13 Ohio counties. DYS provides some funding for MST and community providers can bill Medicaid for 50%-60% of program costs. As of 2020, Functional Family Therapy (FFT) is offered in five Ohio counties. DYS provides some funding for FFT and community providers can bill Medicaid for approximately 20% of treatment costs. 	 Expand Ohio START to all 88 Ohio counties. Ensure coordination between ODJFS ODM, DYS and OMHAS to increase capacity of MST and FFT providers throughout the state, including workforce training.

Table 2. Child protective services and the foster care system (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**	Opportunities for improvement
High quality training	Weak evidence alignment Strong implementation reach	Increase the use of evidence-based
for foster parents	 The Ohio Child Welfare Training Program is a public collaboration that develops and provides comprehensive, competency-based in-service learning activities for foster caregivers and adoptive parents, as well as caseworkers and supervisors in the child welfare system. Activities include classroom training, virtual classroom training and coaching. These activities are provided by approved trainers via eight regional training centers. The Ohio Child Welfare Training Program has formed a work team focused on evidence-based practice in foster care training. The current model is not evidence-based. The 2017-2018 operating budget (HB 49) established a Foster Care Advisory Group to advise the Director of JFS on issues relating to foster care, including how to provide supports to foster caregivers taking care of children affected by parental drug use. In May 2018, the Foster Care Advisory Group issued a report containing 21 recommendations aimed at recruiting and retaining foster caregivers, streamlining the certification requirements and process, and supporting foster caregivers. Some of the recommendations have been implemented or are in the process of being implemented. In 2019, the GA appropriated \$5M and ODJFS promulgated a rule for recruitment, engagement, and support activities for foster parents (OAC 5101:9-6-20). 	 Evaluate the overall impact of current foster care training to determine if adjustments are needed in the amount of training time required and the quality of the training. Reconvene the Foster Care Advisory Group to assess the extent to which recommendations from the May 2018 report have been implemented.
Adequate financial	Unknown evidence alignment Unknown implementation reach	Assess the extent to which current per
resources to meet the needs of children in foster care: Reimbursement rates	Each county sets its own minimum and maximum per diem (day) rates, which range from approximately \$10 to \$118 per day.	diem rates appropriately meet the financial needs of foster families.

Table 2. Child protective services and the foster care system (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**		Opportunities for improvement
Adequate financial resources to meet the needs of children in foster care: Basic services and ageappropriate activities	 Moderate evidence alignment Strong implementation reach The 2013-2014 state operating budget (HB 59) required Medicaid coverage for eligibility groups that had previously been optional, including children placed with adoptive parents and independent foster care adolescents. The 2019-2020 operating budget (HB 166) also allocated funding to schools for student wellness and success programs, including services for child welfare-involved youth and family engagement and support services, as well as mental health services and professional development. In 2014, HB 213 established that any child who is subject to out-of-home care is entitled to participate in age appropriate extracurricular, enrichment and social activities, although funding was not allocated. 		Assess the extent to which current financial supports appropriately meet the basic service and age-appropriate activities needs of children in foster care.
Adequate financial	Weak evidence alignment	Weak implementation reach	Assess the extent to which current tax,
resources to meet the needs of children in foster care: Tax, leave and insurance policies	medical leave insurance program f 133rd GA). • In Ohio, PCSAs do not purchase liab	d, legislation that would authorize a family and or families of foster and adopted children (HB 91, bility insurance to cover damage to foster parents' ise covered by their homeowners' insurance.	leave and insurance policies meet the needs of foster families. Make improvements as needed.

Table 2. Child protective services and the foster care system (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**	Opportunities for improvement
Multidimensional treatment foster care, including child placement with trained foster parents, therapy and training for the birth family and intensive support and consultation to the foster parents	 Unknown evidence alignment According to a rule administered by ODJFS (OAC 5101:2-5-360), children who have special or exceptional needs (physical, mental, emotional or behavioral) cannot be placed in a foster home unless the foster caregiver has been certified to operate a treatment foster home. The agency assigns a treatment team to each child in treatment foster care, and this team develops a service plan that includes treatment goals, methodology, projected length of stay in treatment foster care, how services will be coordinated and how the child's permanency plan will be attained. Treatment foster caregivers must receive a minimum of 60 hours of training on caring for children with special or exceptional needs in the two years prior to receiving initial certification. Exceptions to training requirement: A year of a caring for a foster child as a certified foster caregiver, five years of caring for a child in the home of a foster caregiver on a daily basis, one year of caring for child who has a special or exceptional need in the home of a foster caregiver on a daily basis. More information is needed on the extent to which these services are evidence based and their reach in Ohio. 	Assess the extent to which multidimensional treatment foster care is be delivered in Ohio and outcomes for the children. Make improvements as needed.
Supports for youth transitioning out of foster care	 Strong evidence alignment Since 2013, each state budget (2013-2014, 2015-2016, 2017-2018 and 2019-2020) has appropriated funding to the Independent Living Initiative to assist older aged foster care children and those who recently aged out of foster care with developing life skills and training/work supports. OAC 5101: 9-31-97 allocates funding to the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act, which assists older foster youth and foster youth transitioning out of the system. In 2018, ODJFS launched a new program called Bridges that offers supportive services to foster youth who are over age 18, but younger than 21. The services include assistance with education, housing and employment. Additional resources provided to youth transitioning out of foster care include: Educational Training Vouchers; Chafee Independent Living Assistance; Federal Housing Assistance (Foster Youth Independence Vouchers); and Medicaid eligibility to age 26 (ACA provision). 	 Evaluate the effectiveness of the Bridges program and make improvements as needed. Consult the Youth Advisory Board to identify recommendations to improve supports for youth transitioning out of foster care.

Table 2. Child protective services and the foster care system (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**	Opportunities for improvement	
Cross-system collaboration between child welfare, judicial, medical and addiction treatment professionals	 Moderate evidence alignment Family dependency treatment courts (FDTCs) require coordination and conceptive professionals with ensuring that children have safe, nurturing and permanent homes. Ohis has considered by both ODJFS and OMHAS. The program is curred Ohio counties. Ohio START is an evidence-informed child welfare led interval families that has been shown, when implemented with fidelity, to improve for both parents and children affected by child maltreatment and parent national START model is specifically designed to transform the system-of-conceptive professional system and other family serving agencies. The broad goals of both to keep children safely with their parents whenever possible and to promote recovery and capacity to care for their children. 	 Increase communication and collaboration between ODJFS and OMHAS in order to serve children and families in a more comprehensive way. Expand Ohio START to all 88 Ohio counties. 	
High quality legal representation for children in child abuse and neglect cases	 Strong evidence alignment Moderate implementation reach There are two types of advocates for children in child abuse and neglect Guardians ad Litem (GALs) and Court Appointed Special Advocates (CA. GALs are attorneys who represent the best interest of a person who is the scourt case. They can work with children, elderly victims of crime or any percompetence is being adjudicated. Attorney GALS are often appointed at the court. CASAs are volunteer members of the community who have been specially to advocate in court for the best interest of an abused or neglected child assigned to a child for the duration of a case, usually lasting a year or two. Every county has the ability to appoint an attorney GAL. As of July 2020, the CASA programs serving children in 54 Ohio counties. The 2020 Office of Children Services Transformation Initial Findings report in specific concerns about GALs, CASAs and court hearings. 	to CASA services in addition to attorney GALs. to CASA services in addition to attorney GALs. trained hey are re are 45	

^{*}As identified in the HPIO Evidence Resource Page: Children, Youth and Families
**As of Aug. 2020, as identified in the Ohio policy inventory in this report and information from state agencies. Note that the inventory includes policy changes enacted in 2013-2019. Some policies outside that time frame are included when highly relevant.

Table 3. **Kinship care**

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**	Opportunities for improvement
 Standby and temporary guardianship laws In 2019, HB 166 established that a host family – identified by a child's parent (guardian or legal custodian – can provide temporary care for a child or sing unit when the child's parent(s), guardian or legal custodian, fall under circur in which hosting is appropriate, including incarceration and receiving physic behavioral health treatment. HB 166 further outlines host family-related proceincluding training requirements for host families. ORC 2111.121 allows for the nomination of a standby guardian by a parent and establishes a process for nominating, activating and withdrawing stand guardianship. ORC 2151.424 was updated in 2019 to include kinship caregivers in being not dates, times and places of hearings relevant to hearings with respect to the care of the kin. 		 Implement legislation that allows for emergency or temporary kinship care when neither a host family agreement has been created nor a standby guardian been identified by a child's parents. Codify the custody status of children (legal or physical custody) in the care of host families and standby guardians.
Adjust safety	Strong evidence alignment Strong implementation reach	Implement kinship home assessment
standards for kinship caregivers, including foster home licensing and background checks	 Under OAC 5101:2-42-18, any relative by blood, adoption or marriage is exempt from foster care certification through ODJFS. The same OAC section requires the home to be inspected by a children's service agency before the child is placed there and that the kinship caregiver and all adults, aged 18 and older, in the home are subject to a background check. The Office of Families and Children within ODJFS is updating rule requirements for kinship home assessments as a result of Family First, which will make standards less restrictive than current ones and align them with foster home standards. 	rule changes and evaluate them for effectiveness in increasing access to kinship care and maintaining safety for children.

Table 3. **Kinship care** (cont.)

Evidence-based				
policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**		Opportunities for improvement	
Adequate financial	Moderate evidence alignment	Strong implementation reach	Extend financial supports to informal	
supports and access to social welfare services for kinship caregivers and children	 In 2013, HB 59 established the Kinship Permanency Incentive Program to provide time-limited incentive payments to families caring for their kin and provided eligibility requirements for payments. An initial payment is provided to kinship caregivers to defray the costs of the initial placement. Subsequent payments, provided at 6-month intervals and restricted to 8 payments per minor child by HB 49, supports the stability of the child. HB 166 provides funding to County Departments of Job and Family Services to provide reasonable and necessary relief of child caring functions for kinship caregivers starting in 2019. The OAC was updated in 2019 to outline the responsibilities of the kinship caregiver program in assisting kinship caregivers with providing and maintaining a home for a child. Assistance focuses on TANF and other public benefits and eligibility requirements for kinship caregivers and their wards. Information about Financial Assistance Programs (e.g. Ohio Works First) for kinship caregivers and their wards is posted on the ODJFS website. 		 kinship caregivers to defray the costs of placement. Offer housing-related financial assistance to kinship caregivers and grand-families. Offer payment comparable to that of foster parents and reimbursement for certain expenses to kinship caregivers by passing HB 640. 	
Access to support	Weak evidence alignment	Unknown implementation reach	Allocate funding to mental health	
services for kinship caregivers: Therapy and counseling services	kinship families.	erapy and services are a core service available to ention through ODJFS includes an assessment on uding mental health needs.	programming and services for kinship caregivers. Improve coordination between ODJFS, OMHAS and ODM to provide mental health services for kinship caregivers.	
Access to support	Strong evidence alignment	Strong implementation reach	Evaluate the effectiveness of	
services for kinship caregivers: Kinship Guardianship Assistance and Kinship navigator programs	 ODJFS partnered with Kinnect Ohio programming, the Ohio Kinship and was created to support the needs of program will publish a list of recommorgrams throughout the state. Funded through the Older Americal Program (NFCSP) offers grants to stand other supports for family and in relatives, <55, who provide care to eligible for NFCSP. 	wards Kinship Care Navigator programming in 2019. It to create a model of kinship care navigator at Adoptive Navigator Program (OhioKAN). OhioKAN of Ohio's kinship and adoptive caregivers. The mendations and plans to improve kinship navigator and Act, the National Family Caregiver Support ates and territories that fund respite care, counseling formal caregivers to care for their loved ones. Older a child > 18 and are not the child's parents are to support a kinship caregiver child care program e to kinship caregivers.	 OhioKAN, improve it as needed and identify sustainable sources of funding. Implement recommendations from the Children Services Transformation Advisory Council including the establishment of a Kinship Guardian Assistance Program to promote kinship care permanency for children who cannot return to their parents. 	

Table 3. **Kinship care** (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**		Opportunities for improvement	
Trainings for	Moderate evidence alignment	Strong implementation reach	Codify training standards and	
caseworkers assigned to kinship care cases and caregivers		am offers courses on kinship care-related tOHIO. The Program identifies foster, adoptive and d welfare staff.	requirements on working with kinship families and caregivers for children service agencies and employees.	
Kinship care as a	Moderate evidence alignment	Mixed implementation reach	Expand implementation of 30 Days to	
form of foster care placement	number of children placed with relative natural and community supports to currently implemented in 16 counties. In 2019, HB 166 expanded the defining nonrelative adult that has a familiar child or the family, which relationshise. Family First, to be implemented in County prevention services to alleviate the Act also ensures appropriate setting kinship care.	ition of a kinship guardian to include "any and long-standing relationship or bond with the p or bond will ensure the child's social ties." act. 2021, allocates funding to evidence-based need for placement of children in foster care. The gs are used for children in foster care, including	 Family to all counties in Ohio. Include fictive kin in the definition of kinship relatives for the 30 Days to Family program. Codify the prioritization of family connections by identifying and engaging kin from the onset of CPS involvement for children when out-of-home placement is needed by passing HB 640. 	

^{*}As identified in the HPIO Evidence Resource Page: Children, Youth and Families

**As of Aug. 2020, as identified in the Ohio policy inventory in this report and information from state agencies. Note that the inventory includes policy changes enacted in 2013-2019. Some policies outside that time frame are included when highly relevant.

Table 4. **Prenatal drug exposure**

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**		Opportunities for improvement
Screening pregnant	Moderate evidence alignment	Unknown implementation reach	Develop or adopt protocols for
and postpartum women for substance use, including screening and brief intervention (SBI)	 Although there is no requirement for universal screenings for opioid use in pregnant women, ORC 3711.30 requires maternity units, newborn care nurseries and maternity homes to report to ODH the number of newborns born to Ohio residents who are diagnosed with NAS at birth. The OPQC, in partnership with ODM, OMHAS and ODH, aims to increase the identification of pregnant women with OUD through standardized screening as part of the Maternal Opiate Medical Supports Plus (MOMS+) program. 		universal screening (with validated screening tools), brief intervention and referral to treatment for substance use in pregnant and postpartum women.
Treatment for	Strong evidence alignment	Strong implementation reach	Assess the extent to which pregnant
pregnant women with SUDs, including appropriate use of MAT	HB 59, SB 319, the 2020-2021 state budget and the Cures Act of 2017 all address		women have access to MAT and make improvements as needed.
Screening and	Moderate evidence alignment	Unknown implementation reach	Develop or adopt standardized
assessment for NAS in infants	report to ODH the number of newb NAS at birth. However, it does not e • Led by the OCTF, the Physicians' Cl	ts, newborn care nurseries and maternity homes to borns born to Ohio residents who are diagnosed with establish a method for NAS screening or diagnosis. In a mild Abuse and Neglect Prevention Training initiative glect and prevent future harm of children. The ics, information on NAS.	screening protocols, including validated NAS assessment tools, for infants at risk and/or showing symptoms of NAS.

Table 4. **Prenatal drug exposure** (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**	Opportunities for improvement	
Treatment and management of NAS	 Strong evidence alignment In 2017, HB 49 funded a NAS pilot program in Montgomery County. After being medically treated, infants affected by NAS are sent to a non-hospital community care facility for extended treatment. From 2014-2018, OPQC's NAS project, in partnership with ODM, worked to reduce variation in identification and treatment and optimize care for infants with NAS in 54 sites across the state. The 2020-2021 state budget includes \$30.6 million to provide extended 12-month Medicaid coverage for postpartum women and mother/baby dyad care for women with OUD. However, ODM needs to obtain CMS approval to provide this extended Medicaid coverage. 	 Expand availability of extended treatment services for NAS to all 88 counties. Encourage implementation of OPQC's NAS protocol. Apply for a CMS Section 1115 waiver to allow women to maintain continuous Medicaid coverage for 12 months postpartum. 	
Home visiting and early intervention for infants diagnosed with NAS	 Strong evidence alignment In 2019, OAC 5123-10-02 expanded eligibility for Early Intervention services through DODD's HMG program to infants diagnosed with NAS. It also established that families should be referred to HMG Home Visiting and other services if interested and eligible. Gov. DeWine's Advisory Committee on Home Visitation released a series of recommendations in 2019, including that ODH and DODD partner to enhance the process for triaging child welfare-engaged children, as some children may not require Early Intervention services but would benefit from home visiting. 	Assess the extent to which babies diagnosed with NAS are appropriately being referred to HMG Services. Evaluate outcomes for families and make improvements as needed.	
Contraception access for women with OUD	 Strong evidence alignment In 2010, the ACA required that health insurers cover 18 methods of contraception without out-of-pocket costs. Ohio extended access to Medicaid for individuals up to 138% of the Federal Poverty Level in 2014, which includes no-cost contraception access for beneficiaries. 	Support integration of behavioral health and reproductive health care so that women with SUD have access to high-quality family planning services.	

Table 4. **Prenatal drug exposure** (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**		Opportunities for improvement
Cross-system collaboration to support the needs of mothers and babies with NAS, such as the Substance- Exposed Infants (SEI) framework	 with NAS to DODD for HMG Early II The OPQC is a statewide consorting governmental entities that uses evimprovement methods to reduce outcomes across Ohio, including II Ohio's Quality Improvement Cent grant allows the implementation of the needs of infants and families of including POSC, standardizing systems. The MOMS program is an example ODM, the Ohio Colleges of Medicathe Health Services Advisory Group outcomes, improve family stability program. The Ohio Women's Network is a grant governmental program. 	um of clinicians, hospitals, policymakers and vidence-informed strategies and data-driven quality preterm births and improve maternal and birth NAS. Therefore Collaborative Community Court Teams project and evaluation of supportive practices to address affected by SUDs and NAS in three Ohio counties rems of care and participation in FDTC. Therefore of cross-system collaboration between OMHAS, sine Government Resource Center (GRC) and po (HSAG) to improve maternal and fetal health of and reduce costs of NAS to Ohio's Medicaid roup of women's alcohol, tobacco and drug of strengthen collaboration and coordination among	Assess the extent to which babies diagnosed with NAS are appropriately being referred to HMG Services. Evaluate outcomes for families and make improvements as needed.
Collaborative and comprehensive approach to POSC	summarized here. PCSAs are responsible after they receive a report of an insymptoms or FASDs. Current regular monitoring implementation of POS Ohio is currently participating in the and NAS Initiative (OMNI) learning	Strong implementation reach opment of POSC is outlined in the OAC and onsible for ensuring a POSC has been developed of anti-affected by substance abuse, withdrawal ations do not, however, include requirements for SC. The ASTHO Opioid Use Disorder, Maternal Outcomes, a community, which is focused on improving the proving care coordination and transition care before	Standardize processes, such as monitoring, across the state for POSC.

Table 4. **Prenatal drug exposure** (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**	Opportunities for improvement
Screening pregnant and postpartum women for alcohol use, including screening and brief intervention (SBI)	 Although there is no requirement for universal screenings for alcohol use in pregnant women, OAC 3701-57-02 requires each physician, hospital and freestanding birth center to report to the birth defects information system information on children under 5 years of age with conditions including FAS. An Alcohol Screening Brief Intervention (ASBI) process in Ohio WIC was recently launched in Montgomery County. This initiative allows for the screening of all WIC-recipient pregnant women for alcohol use, providing brief interventions to all who screen positive, follows those receiving brief interventions during pregnancy and refers them to treatment services. 	 Develop or adopt protocols for universal screening (with validated screening tools), brief intervention and referral to treatment for alcohol use in pregnant and postpartum women. Expand ASBI for WIC-recipient pregnant women in all 88 counties. Require reporting into the birth defects information system for conditions such as FASDs discovered after 5 years of age.
Early interventions for FASDs	 Strong evidence alignment As part of the POSC process outlined here, when PCSAs receive information on an infant affected by substance abuse, substance exposure and/or FASDs, a POSC is developed for that infant and they are referred to HMG Early Intervention services. Under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, children younger than 3 years of age who are at-risk of having developmental delays may be eligible for early intervention services, even without a formal FASDs diagnosis. In conjunction with state FASDs efforts, the public education and prevention campaign "Not a Single Drop" was developed in 2019 and later re-branded to "No Amount is Safe." 	Ensure that all children 3-years-old or younger who have been exposed to alcohol prenatally receive referrals to El.

Table 4. **Prenatal drug exposure** (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**		Opportunities for improvement
	 Moderate evidence alignment The Perinatal Smoking Cessation Proferee Families, OMHAS MOMS 2.0 are and communities to reduce smoking pregnant women through the implementary of the i	ality Recommendations) included several tobacco quirement for ODM to enter into an interagency ODM pays the federal and nonfederal shares of ovided to Medicaid recipients. Serive free services from the Ohio Tobacco Quit Line. 1018 and 2019-2020 allocated funds to support the ne Prenatal Smoking Cessation Project. The 2014-allocated funding to HMG home visiting services to with ODH and the 2017-2019 Strategic Plan for a duction of smoking rates in Ohio, identifying mothers oppulations. The 2015 Title V Maternal and Infant ent completed by ODH identified maternal smoking	Reduce barriers identified by ODM that Medicaid patients experience when accessing programs on tobacco cessation, birth spacing and prematurity prevention. Expand the Moms Quit for Two program to all 88 Ohio counties.
	ODM will submit reports to the Ohio barriers to tobacco cessation programmers	Commission on Infant Mortality that identifies ramming access experienced by Medicaid patients orecard that includes tobacco-related outcomes.	

^{*}As identified in the HPIO Evidence Resource Page: Children, Youth and Families
**As of Aug. 2020, as identified in the Ohio policy inventory in this report and information from state agencies. Note that the inventory includes policy changes enacted in 2013-2019. Some policies outside that time frame are included when highly relevant.

Table 5. Addiction treatment and recovery for parents

	realment and recovery for parents		
Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**	Opportunities for improvement	
Early identification of families at-risk for child maltreatment in addiction treatment programs and prenatal screening initiatives	 Moderate evidence alignment Moderate implementation reach There is no requirement for addiction treatment providers in Ohio to screen for child maltreatment. Early identification screening is conducted, however, through the Ohio START Program, which provides specialized victim services to children. Ohio START is available in 46 counties as of July 2020. In 2020, an informal survey of Family and Children First Councils and members of the Ohio Women's Network also found that several addiction treatment programs are screening for adverse childhood experiences, including children who have experienced maltreatment or parents who have contemplated doing so. 	 Expand Ohio START to all 88 Ohio counties. Require that all addiction treatment providers in Ohio screen parents for signs of children maltreatment. 	
Priority and timely access to addiction treatment for mothers involved in the child welfare system, including access to MAT	 Strong evidence alignment In 2013, HB 59 added "pregnant women, parents, guardians of custodians of children at risk of abuse or neglect" as priority populations for services funded or provided by the OMHAS. HB 59 also established pregnant women as a priority population for local ADAMH boards. Data from N-SSATS indicates that, in 2019, only 20% of state-funded treatment providers offered programs specifically for pregnant and postpartum women. OMHAS data on the extent to which pregnant women and parents get needed addiction treatment indicates a low penetration rate, but is incomplete. 	 Increase services for pregnant and post-partum women offered by addiction treatment providers. Increase use of evidence-based treatment, including MAT, for pregnant and post-partum women. Collect and analyze data on behavioral health treatment system capacity and effectiveness in a centralized way in order to better serve pregnant and post-partum women. 	
Family-centered treatment services (i.e. inpatient treatment for mothers in facilities where they can have their children with them and programs that provide services to each family member)	 Strong evidence alignment As of Oct. 1, 2018, Family First calls for Title IV-E federal reimbursement for children in residential family-based substance abuse treatment with a parent for not more than 12 months. An administrative rule passed by the ODJFS in 2018 (OAC 5101: 2-47-24) requires public children services agencies, or any public entity with whom ODJFS has a Title IV-E subgrant agreement in effect, to contract with an SUD residential facility for the placement of children with a parent in the facility. This includes the treatment plan and related costs to care of the child. Each Title IV-E agency shall enter all required contracting information into the statewide automated child welfare information system (SACWIS) to be able to enter a placement of a child with a parent in a SUD residential facility. Data from N-SSATS indicates that, in 2019, only 9% of state-funded treatment providers offer childcare for their clients, and only 3% offer beds for client children in in-patient treatment. 	 Increase the number of addiction treatment providers that offer family-centered treatment services, including inpatient beds for client children and childcare for clients. Collect and analyze data on behavioral health treatment system capacity and effectiveness in a centralized way in order to better serve parents in addiction treatment. 	

Table 5. Addiction treatment and recovery for parents (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**	Opportunities for improvement
Specialized docket Programs, including family dependency treatment courts, to encourage high risk, high need individuals to seek treatment	 Strong evidence alignment Moderate implementation reach There are 256 specialized dockets in Ohio, including 180 drug courts and 33 family dependency treatment courts. There are specialized dockets in 64 Ohio counties. OMHAS provides funding to specialized dockets in 57 counties through three programs: The Addiction Treatment Program, the Specialized Docket Subsidy Program, and the Legacy Drug Court program. These programs fund addiction treatment, recovery supports and administrative costs for the courts. In 2017, 76 two-year Justice Reinvestment and Incentive Grants were approved for County Common Pleas and local Municipal Courts. \$10 million of the total is distributed to address opiate addiction with the criminal justice-involved population. The Safe Babies Court Team (SBCT) model is currently being implemented in 1 county (Lucas) with plans to expand to 3 more in 2020. SBCT is a community engagement and systems change initiative that can be incorporated into a family drug court or serve as a stand-alone program. See also: Ohio Supreme Court Quality Improvement Collaborative Community Court Teams in prenatal exposure section. 	 Expand The Addiction Treatment Program and/or Specialized Docket Subsidy Program funding to all specialty dockets. Continue to create new specialized dockets, including family dependency treatment courts, so that Ohioans in all counties have access to these dockets. Evaluate the impact of specialized dockets and provide technical assistance to assist courts with continuing quality improvement of these dockets.
Family treatment	Mixed evidence alignment Mixed evidence alignment	Evaluate the RED Tool and, if it is
court: Ensuring equity and inclusion	 In 2020, the Supreme Court of Ohio launched a pilot program with American University that utilizes the RED (Racial and Ethnic Disparities) Tool in over 40 specialized dockets in Ohio. Several of these dockets are family dependency treatment courts. The RED Tool is an assessment that captures whether a treatment court's internal operations and procedures are subject to racial bias. The Supreme Court of Ohio began collecting data on the demographic characteristics of docket participants (including race, age, ethnicity and gender identity) in order to assess equity and inclusion in dockets statewide. 	successful in reducing racial and ethnic disparities in specialized dockets, expand use of the tool to all dockets, including family treatment dependency courts. Continue to collect and begin reporting data on the demographic characteristics of docket participants.

Table 5. Addiction treatment and recovery for parents (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**		Opportunities for improvement	
Family treatment court: Early identification, screening and assessment	 must meet a series of Specialized D The Specialized Dockets Standards and refer them to the appropriate: Each drug court, including family d treatment provider to clinically assetrauma. Drug courts in Ohio also assess crimwhich assessment tool must be used. Drug courts in some Ohio counties and referral to appropriate assessment. 	specify that courts must promptly assess participants services. ependency treatment courts, utilizes an appropriate ess participants for SUDs, mental health disorders and inal risk; the Supreme Court does not prescribe	 Opportunities for improvement Collect and report data from each specialized docket about what screening and assessment tools are being used. Continue to collect data and publicly report data from each specialized docket about the number of court participants that screen positive for mental illness, addiction and trauma. 	
Family treatment court: Timely, high-quality and appropriate SUDs treatment	 Mixed evidence alignment The Specialized Dockets Standards require courts to provide services that meet individualized needs, incorporate evidence-based practices, are gender responsive, culturally appropriate, and address co-occurring disorders. In 2016, the Supreme Court of Ohio released a guidance document for the use of MAT in drug courts: Principles for the Use of MAT in Drug Courts, which includes family dependency treatment courts. The language of the document is permissive and courts are not required to follow the guidance. The Supreme Court of Ohio has proposed that compliance with the MAT guidance be added as a certification standard for all specialized dockets, but that change has not yet occurred. Beginning July 2019, drug courts are reporting the duration of treatment to the Supreme Court of Ohio. From January 23, 2019 through July 9, 2020, successful family treatment court participants are in treatment for a mean of 392 days. 		 Provide technical assistance to assist family dependency treatment courts with continuing quality improvement, including shortening the time it takes to place participants in treatment. Finalize changes to the specialized docket certification standards that requires compliance with the Supreme Court of Ohio's MAT guidance document. 	

Table 5. Addiction treatment and recovery for parents (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**	Opportunities for improvement	
Family treatment court: Comprehensive case management, services and supports for families	 Strong evidence alignment The Statewide System Improvement Program (SSIP) is an initiative of the federal Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention that provides services to families in the child welfare system affected by parental SUDs. The project, led by the Supreme Court of Ohio, enhances and expands successful family dependency court programs at the local level and increases cooperation between courts, child welfare and substance use treatment agencies. In 2014, Ohio was one of five states selected to receive three-year federal SSIP funding, and an additional year of funding was awarded in September 2016. SSIP funding will end on September 30, 2020. 	Continue to fund the SSIP so that families involved in the child welfare system continue to receive those services. Develop and adequately fund a program of peer supporters for parents who are in treatment or	
Recovery coaches/ mentors for parents to support treatment, recovery and parenting	 Weak evidence alignment As of July 2020, there is no program currently in place in Ohio for recovery coaches or peer supporters for parents who are in treatment or recovery. National Alliance of Mental Illness of Ohio (NAMI Ohio) is working on a certification curriculum for parent peer supporters. Ohio START includes Family Peer Mentors. 		
Wrap-around services for parents in recovery	 Moderate evidence alignment Recovery housing is a critical wrap-around service. Additional state resources were allocated to recovery housing in the 2015-2016 operating budget (HB 64), although the extent to which this funding supported recovery housing for parents is unclear. In 2016, SB 319 established a community-based continuum of care services for drug and alcohol addiction treatment. These include parental paths to recovery and support/education for families. Ohio START, MOMS and the SAPT Women's Set-Aside programs all provide wraparound services to parents in recovery. As of 2019, 53 counties had at least one of these programs. 	Increase funding for and availability of wrap-around services for the MIECHV population, including: Recovery housing Transportation to and childcare during addiction treatment Education and employment programs	

^{*}As identified in the HPIO Evidence Resource Page: Children, Youth and Families
**As of July 2020, as identified in the Ohio policy inventory in this report and information from state agencies. Note that the inventory includes policy changes enacted in 2013-2019. Some policies outside that time frame are included when highly relevant.

Table 6. Supports for multi-system youth

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**	Opportunities for improvement	
Partnerships between juvenile justice and child welfare systems	Moderate evidence alignment The ENGAGE 1.0 program focused on improving outcomes for youth – ages 14-21 – with mental health needs or have co-occurring disorders and are at-risk for involvement with the child protection, juvenile justice and/or homeless systems. The program improved outcomes for these youth by expanding the evidence-based wraparound practice. A four-year SAMHSA systems of care grant funded ENGAG and expired in 2017. A second version of the program, ENGAGE 2.0, began in 2017, funded by a four-year SAMHSA grant. ENGAGE 2.0 includes 9 counties that implemented ENGAGE 1.0 and expands into 4 new counties. ENGAGE 2.0 include youths ages 0-21 and focuses on increasing and supporting access to Mobile Response Stabilization Services for multi-system youth.	t-risk for ystems. The se-based d ENGAGE gan in nties that .0 includes	
Interventions for justice-involved youth who use drugs	 Strong evidence alignment Correctional facility employees are responsible for providing substance abuse rehabilitation and treatment to juvenile offenders. Under ORC section 5119.188 OMHAS developed a training program on addiction and SUDs for these employees, which started in 1995. As established by HB 64 in 2015, funding is provided to court systems with specialized dockets, including juvenile courts, to hire a specialized docket employee that is educated on alcohol and other drug addiction, abuse and recovery. Currently, one Ohio county offers a juvenile drug docket and five oth offer juvenile treatment dockets. 	 facilities. Improve services as needed. Expand the number of counties with specialized juvenile drug and juvenile treatment dockets. 	

Table 6. Supports for multi-system youth (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**	Opportunities for improvement	
Interventions for youth	Strong evidence alignment Strong implementation reach	Evaluate the effectiveness of mental	
in foster care who need mental health services	 In 2013, HB 59 ensured that individuals under 21 years old had access to community mental health services through Medicaid if those individuals had been in juvenile court, CPS, were a delinquent under the state or has been committed to DYS. In 2017, The Supreme Court of Ohio published a children and families trauma-informed care guide, which includes questions courts should consider when working with children and families who may have experienced trauma, including considerations regarding family substance use. The guidance also provides courtroom practice recommendations and encourages fostering resilience in children and families. In Sept. 2020, ODM announced the launch of OhioRISE (Resilience through Integrated Systems and Excellence), a managed care initiative designed to improve behavioral health access and outcomes for multi-system youth. Implementation will begin in 2021. Services will include intensive care coordination, in-home therapies, crisis intervention and wraparound supports. 	health services for youth in foster care. Improve services as needed.	
Case management	Moderate evidence alignment Strong implementation reach	Implement the recommendations	
data system for multi- system youth	 HB 49 requires data sharing between state agencies impacting multi-system youth (including those involved with CPS, those with disabilities and those in need of mental health/addiction services) to monitor availability of evidence-based services for this population and their outcomes. In January 2020, OFCF released a comprehensive Multi-System Youth Action Plan. Recommendations in the action plan, which aligned with a set of 6 requirements from HB 166, included developing uniform metrics to measure multi-system youth outcomes and a uniform reporting process. 	from OFCF regarding data sharing for multi-system youth. • Develop a single data management system for multi-system youth.	
Validated	Weak evidence alignment Strong implementation reach	Develop a validated screening tool	
screening tools and assessments, including joint assessments	The DYS Ohio Youth Assessment Systems screens for substance abuse, mental health and personality needs of justice-involved youth, although this does not appear to be a joint assessment across systems. (Note that ODM's Ohio RISE managed care program, which has not yet been implemented, is planning to use a single assessment tool across all systems.)	and joint assessment for multi-system youth. Tools should be available to all youth-related systems (e.g. DYS, OMHAS, etc.).	

Table 6. Supports for multi-system youth (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice*	Ohio status**		Opportunities for improvement
	 Strong evidence alignment In 2019, HB 166 provided \$68 millionsystem youth to prevent the separate creating the Multi-System Youth an custody relinquishment by increase multi-system youth under custody custody. Funding is provided for an elinquishment for families to gain youth. The ODJFS and ODM jointly issued system youth and families. Specific PCSAs and a state level program funds will help these agencies and youth. Recommendations from the OFCI 	Strong implementation reach on in new funding to address the needs of multi- ration of these youths from their families by and Innovation Support Fund, which prevents sing access to needed services for, and assisting of a PSCA or prevent them from entering a two-year time period. begin ending the practice of custody access to needed services for multi-system \$31 million in new funding to support multi- cally, the funding is distributed to the OFCF, for multi-system youth and their families. The d system support the needs of multi-system F comprehensive multi-system youth action herates recommendations for strategies that	Opportunities for improvement Reconvene the Joint Legislative Committee for Multi-System Youth to assess the impact of system improvements made in 2019 and 2020.
	assist in reducing custody relinquis to services for multi-system youth of financial conditions that contribut receiving child-specific services. In Sept. 2020, ODM announced th Integrated Systems and Excellence	the sole purpose of gaining access and to conduct an assessment of legal and re to custody relinquishment for the purposes of the launch of OhioRISE (Resilience through re), a managed care initiative designed to see and outcomes for multi-system youth.	

^{*}As identified in the HPIO Evidence Resource Page: Children, Youth and Families
**As of Dec. 2019, as identified in the Ohio policy inventory in this report and information from state agencies. Note that the inventory includes policy changes enacted in 2013-2019. Some policies outside that time frame are included when highly relevant.

Evidence sources

Table 7. **Family-focused prevention**

Evidence-based policy, program or	Evidence sources	
practice	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry
Home visiting programs to reduce child	What Works for Health	Early childhood home visiting programs
maltreatment	What Works for Health	Healthy Families America
	What Works for Health	Nurse-Family Partnership
	Home Visiting Evidence of Effectiveness (HomVEE), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	Comprehensive list of home visiting models that meet HHS criteria of effectiveness
Parenting education programs to prevent child maltreatment (primary prevention)	California Evidence-Based Clearinghouse for Child Welfare	 Family Foundations Triple P - Positive Parenting Program System SafeCare
Family interventions to deter youth drug/alcohol use	National Institute of Justice, Crime Solutions, 2014	Adults in the Making (AIM)
	National Institute of Justice, Crime Solutions, 2011	Family Matters
	National Institute of Justice, Crime Solutions, 2011	Guiding Good Choices
	National Institute of Justice, Crime Solutions, 2013	Positive family supports

Table 8. Child protective services and the foster care system

Evidence-based policy, program or	Evidence sources		
practice	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry	
Programs and services to prevent out- of-home placements for families at risk (secondary prevention)	Title IV-E Prevention Services Clearinghouse, 2020	List of programs and services rated well-supported, supported and promising	
High quality training for foster parents	National Institute of Justice, Crime Solutions, 2018	KEEP (Keeping Foster and Kinship Parents Supported and Trained)	
	Child Welfare League of America	PRIDE Model of Practice	
	Annie E. Casey Foundation, 2016	A Movement to Transform Foster Parenting	
Evidence-based policy, program or		Evidence sources	
practice	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry	
Adequate financial resources to meet the needs of children in foster care: Reimbursement rates	Annie E. Casey Foundation, 2016	A Movement to Transform Foster Parenting	
Adequate financial resources to meet the needs of children in foster care: Basic services and age-appropriate activities	Annie E. Casey Foundation, 2016	A Movement to Transform Foster Parenting	
Evidence-based policy, program or		Evidence sources	
practice	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry	
Adequate financial resources to meet the needs of children in foster care: Tax, leave and insurance policies	Annie E. Casey Foundation, 2016	A Movement to Transform Foster Parenting	
Multidimensional treatment foster care, including child placement with trained foster parents, therapy and training for the birth family and intensive support and consultation to the foster parents	National Institute of Justice, Crime Solutions, 2011	Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care—Adolescents	
Supports for youth transitioning out of foster care	MDRC, 2015	Becoming Adults	

Table 8. Child protective services and the foster care system (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or	Evidence sources	
practice	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry
Cross-system collaboration between child welfare, judicial, medical and addiction treatment professionals	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2016	A Collaborative Approach to the Treatment of Pregnant Women with Opioid Use Disorders: Practice and Policy Considerations for Child Welfare, Collaborating Medical, and Service Providers
High quality legal representation for children in child abuse and neglect	American Bar Association	ABA Standards for Lawyers who Represent Children in Abuse and Neglect Cases
cases		ABA Model Act Governing the Representation of Children in Abuse, Neglect, and Dependency Proceedings

Table 9. **Kinship care**

Evidence-based policy, program or	Evidence sources		
practice	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry	
Standby and temporary guardianship laws	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2016	Kinship Caregivers and the Child Welfare System	
Adjust safety standards for kinship caregivers including foster home	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2016	Kinship Caregivers and the Child Welfare System	
licensing and background checks	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2018	Working with Kinship Caregivers	
	Generations United, 2019	A Place to Call Home: Building Affordable Housing for Grandfamilies	
	Family Focused Treatment Association, 2015	The Kinship Treatment Foster Care Initiative Toolkit	
Adequate financial supports and access to social welfare services for kinship	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2016	Kinship Caregivers and the Child Welfare System	
caregivers and children	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2018	Kinship Guardianship as a Permanency Option	
	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2018	Working with Kinship Caregivers	
	Family Focused Treatment Association, 2015	The Kinship Treatment Foster Care Initiative Toolkit	
	Annie E. Casey Foundation, 2016	A Movement to Transform Foster Parenting	
Access to support services for kinship caregivers: Therapy and counseling	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2016	Kinship Caregivers and the Child Welfare System	
services	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2018	Working with Kinship Caregivers	
	Family Focused Treatment Association, 2015	The Kinship Treatment Foster Care Initiative Toolkit	
	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2012	Supporting Infants, Toddlers and Families Impacted by Caregiver Mental Health Problems, Substance Abuse and Trauma: A Community Action Guide	

Table 9. **Kinship care** (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice	Evidence sources		
	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry	
Access to support services for kinship caregivers: Kinship Guardianship	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2016	Kinship Caregivers and the Child Welfare System	
Assistance and Kinship navigator programs	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2018	Kinship Guardianship as a Permanency Option	
	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2018	Working with Kinship Caregivers	
Trainings for caseworkers assigned to kinship care cases and caregivers	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2018	Working with Kinship Caregivers	
	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2012	Supporting Infants, Toddlers and Families Impacted by Caregiver Mental Health Problems, Substance Abuse and Trauma: A Community Action Guide	
Kinship care as a form of foster care placement	Family Focused Treatment Association, 2015	The Kinship Treatment Foster Care Initiative Toolkit	
	What Works for Health, County Health Rankings and Roadmaps, 2018	Kinship foster care for children in the child welfare system	
	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2012	Supporting Infants, Toddlers and Families Impacted by Caregiver Mental Health Problems, Substance Abuse and Trauma: A Community Action Guide	

Table 10. **Prenatal drug exposure**

Evidence-based policy, program or practice	Evidence sources		
	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry	
Screening pregnant and postpartum women for substance use, including screening and brief intervention (SBI)	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2018	Clinical Guidance for Treating Pregnant and Parenting Women with Opioid Use Disorder and Their Infants	
	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2016	A Collaborative Approach to the Treatment of Pregnant Women with Opioid Use Disorders: Practice and Policy Considerations for Child Welfare, Collaborating Medical, and Service Providers	
	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, 2018	HRSA's Home Visiting Program: Supporting Families Impacted by Opioid Use and Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome	
Evidence-based treatment for pregnant women with SUDs, including appropriate use of MAT	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2018	Clinical Guidance for Treating Pregnant and Parenting Women with Opioid Use Disorder and Their Infants	
	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2016	A Collaborative Approach to the Treatment of Pregnant Women with Opioid Use Disorders: Practice and Policy Considerations for Child Welfare, Collaborating Medical, and Service Providers	
	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, 2018	HRSA's Home Visiting Program: Supporting Families Impacted by Opioid Use and Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome	
Home visiting for families with infants diagnosed with NAS	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2018	Clinical Guidance for Treating Pregnant and Parenting Women with Opioid Use Disorder and Their Infants	
	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, 2018	HRSA's Home Visiting Program: Supporting Families Impacted by Opioid Use and Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome	

Table 10. **Prenatal drug exposure** (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice	Evidence sources		
	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry	
Screening and assessment for NAS	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2018	Clinical Guidance for Treating Pregnant and Parenting Women with Opioid Use Disorder and Their Infants	
	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, 2018	HRSA's Home Visiting Program: Supporting Families Impacted by Opioid Use and Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome	
Treatment and management of NAS	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2018	Clinical Guidance for Treating Pregnant and Parenting Women with Opioid Use Disorder and Their Infants	
	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, 2018	HRSA's Home Visiting Program: Supporting Families Impacted by Opioid Use and Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome	
Contraception access for women with OUD	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2018	Clinical Guidance for Treating Pregnant and Parenting Women with Opioid Use Disorder and Their Infants	
	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2016	A Collaborative Approach to the Treatment of Pregnant Women with Opioid Use Disorders: Practice and Policy Considerations for Child Welfare, Collaborating Medical, and Service Providers	
Cross-system collaboration to support the needs of mothers and babies with NAS, such as the Substance-Exposed Infants (SEI) framework	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2016	A Collaborative Approach to the Treatment of Pregnant Women with Opioid Use Disorders: Practice and Policy Considerations for Child Welfare, Collaborating Medical, and Service Providers	
	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2017	Improving Outcomes for Pregnant and Postpartum Women with Opioid Use Disorders and Their Infants, Families and Caregivers	
	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, 2018	HRSA's Home Visiting Program: Supporting Families Impacted by Opioid Use and Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome	

Table 10. **Prenatal drug exposure** (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or	Evidence sources	
practice	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry
Collaborative and comprehensive approach to POSC	National Center on Substance Abuse and Child Welfare, 2019	On the Ground: How States Are Addressing Plans of Safe Care for Infants with Prenatal Substance Exposure and Their Families
	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2017	Improving Outcomes for Pregnant and Postpartum Women with Opioid Use Disorders and Their Infants, Families and Caregivers
Screening pregnant and postpartum women for alcohol use, including screening and brief intervention (SBI)	U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2014	Planning and Implementing Screening and Brief Intervention for Risky Alcohol Use: A Step-by-Step Guide for Primary Care Practices
	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2018	Clinical Guidance for Treating Pregnant and Parenting Women with Opioid Use Disorder and Their Infants
Early interventions for FASDs	U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020	FASDs: Treatments
Smoking cessation for pregnant and postpartum women	Association of State and Territorial Health Officials, 2013	Smoking Cessation Strategies for Women Before, During, and After Pregnancy
	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2018	Clinical Guidance for Treating Pregnant and Parenting Women with Opioid Use Disorder and Their Infants

Table 11. Addiction treatment and recovery for parents

Evidence-based policy, program or	Evidence sources		
practice	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry	
Early identification of families at-risk for child maltreatment in addiction treatment programs and prenatal screening initiatives	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2014	Parental Substance Use and the Child Welfare System	
Priority and timely access to addiction treatment for mothers involved in the	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2014	Parental Substance Use and the Child Welfare System	
child welfare system, including access to MAT	American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM), 2015	National Practice Guideline for the Use of Medications in the Treatment of Addiction Involving Opiate Use	
Family-centered treatment services Inpatient treatment for mothers in facilities where they can have their children with them and programs that provide services to each family member	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2014	Parental Substance Use and the Child Welfare System	
Specialized docket programs, including family dependency treatment courts, to encourage high risk, high need individuals to	The National Center for Addiction and Substance Abuse, 2017 Ending the Opioid Crisis: A Practical Guide for State Policymakers	The National Center for Addiction and Substance Abuse, 2017 Ending the Opioid Crisis: A Practical Guide for State Policymakers	
seek treatment	California Evidence-Based Clearinghouse for Child Welfare	Several programs, including the Safe Babies Court Team	
Family treatment court: Ensuring equity and inclusion	National Association of Drug Court Professionals, 2019	Family treatment court best practice standards	
	National Institute of Justice, Crime Solutions, 2011	Jackson County (Ore.) Community Family Court	
	National Institute of Justice, Crime Solutions, 2017	Tulsa (OK) Family Drug Court	
Family treatment court: Early identification, screening and assessment	National Association of Drug Court Professionals, 2019	Family treatment court best practice standards	
Family treatment court: Timely, high- quality and appropriate SUDs treatment	National Association of Drug Court Professionals, 2019	Family treatment court best practice standards	
Family treatment court: Comprehensive case management, services and supports for families	National Association of Drug Court Professionals, 2019	Family treatment court best practice standards	

Table 11. Addiction treatment and recovery for parents

Evidence have duality, myseyans ev	Evidence sources	
Evidence-based policy, program or practice	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry
Recovery coaches/ mentors for parents to support treatment, recovery and parenting	Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2014	Parental Substance Use and the Child Welfare System
Provide wrap-around services for parents in recovery	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Title IV- E Prevention Services Clearinghouse, 2019	Families Facing the Future (FFF) (formerly known as Focus on Families)

Table 12. **Supports for multi-system youth**

Evidence-based policy, program or	Evidence sources	
practice	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry
Partnerships between juvenile justice and child welfare systems	Georgetown University, McCourt School of Public Policy, Center for Juvenile Justice Reform, 2015	The Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM): An Abbreviated Guide
	Models for Change, Systems Reform in Juvenile Justice, 2013	Guidebook for Juvenile Justice & Child Welfare System Coordination and Integration: A Framework for Improved Outcomes
Interventions for justice-involved youth who use drugs	National Institute of Justice, Crime Solutions, 2016	Juvenile Breaking the Cycle (JBTC) Program
	National Institute of Justice, Crime Solutions, 2011	Adolescent Community Reinforcement Approach (A-CRA)
	Georgetown University, McCourt School of Public Policy, Center for Juvenile Justice Reform, 2015	The Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM): An Abbreviated Guide
	What Works for Health, County Health Rankings and Roadmaps, 2016	Treatment Foster Care Oregon See also: The University of Colorado Boulder, Institute of Behavioral Science's rating and information on Treatment Foster Care Oregon Functional Family Therapy (FFT) See also: The University of Colorado Boulder, Institute Behavioral
		Science's rating and information on FFT
	What Works for Health, County Health Rankings and Roadmaps, 2018	Multisystemic Therapy (MST) for juvenile offenders See also: The University of Colorado Boulder, Institute of Behavioral Science's rating and information on MST

Table 12. Supports for multi-system youth (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or	Evidence sources		
practice	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry	
Interventions for youth in foster care who need mental health services	What Works for Health, County Health Rankings and Roadmaps, 2020	Crisis Lines	
	Georgetown University, McCourt School of Public Policy, Center for Juvenile Justice Reform, 2015	The Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM): An Abbreviated Guide	
	National Institute of Justice, Crime Solutions, 2011	Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care–Adolescents	
	What Works for Health, County Health Rankings and Roadmaps, 2019	Mental Health First Aid	
	Foster Family-Based Treatment Association, 2008	Implementing Evidence-Based Practice in Treatment Foster Care	
	National Institute of Justice, Crime Solutions, 2016	Better Futures Program	
Case management data system for multi-system youth	Models for Change, Systems Reform in Juvenile Justice, 2013	Guidebook for Juvenile Justice & Child Welfare System Coordination and Integration: A Framework for Improved Outcomes	
	Georgetown University, McCourt School of Public Policy, Center for Juvenile Justice Reform, 2015	The Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM): An Abbreviated Guide	
Validated screening tools and assessments, including joint assessments	Models for Change, Systems Reform in Juvenile Justice, 2013	Guidebook for Juvenile Justice & Child Welfare System Coordination and Integration: A Framework for Improved Outcomes	
	Georgetown University, McCourt School of Public Policy, Center for Juvenile Justice Reform, 2015	The Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM): An Abbreviated Guide	
	Foster Family-Based Treatment Association, 2008	Implementing Evidence-Based Practice in Treatment Foster Care	

Table 12. Supports for multi-system youth (cont.)

Evidence-based policy, program or practice	Evidence sources	
	Organization and year	Report, guideline or evidence registry
Interventions to reunite and stabilize families with multi-system involved youth	Georgetown University, McCourt School of Public Policy, Center for Juvenile Justice Reform, 2015	The Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM): An Abbreviated Guide
	Models for Change, Systems Reform in Juvenile Justice, 2013	Guidebook for Juvenile Justice & Child Welfare System Coordination and Integration: A Framework for Improved Outcomes